Linear Analysis Lecture 18

The Resolvent

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and $L \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. If $\zeta \notin \Sigma(L)$, then the operator $L - \zeta I$ is invertible.

Define

$$R(\zeta) = (L - \zeta I)^{-1}$$

(sometimes denoted $R(\zeta, L)$). The function $R : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma(L) \to \mathcal{L}(V)$ is called the **resolvent** of L.

 $R(\zeta)$ provides an analytic approach to questions about the spectral theory of L

The set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma(L)$ is called the **resolvent set** of *L*.

Since the inverses of commuting invertible linear transformations also commute,

 $R(\zeta_1)$ and $R(\zeta_2)$ commute $\forall \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma(L)$.

Since a linear transformation commutes with its inverse, it also follows that L commutes with $R(\zeta)$ for all values of ζ .

Basic Resolvent Equations

Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma(L)$.

$$R(\zeta_1) - R(\zeta_2) = R(\zeta_1)(L - \zeta_2)R(\zeta_2) - R(\zeta_1)(L - \zeta_1)R(\zeta_2)$$

$$= R(\zeta_1)[(L - \zeta_2) - (L - \zeta_1)]R(\zeta_2)$$

 $= (\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)R(\zeta_1)R(\zeta_2)$

$$R(\zeta_1)R(\zeta_2) = (\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)^{-1}[R(\zeta_1) - R(\zeta_2)]$$

$$R(\zeta_1) = [I - (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)R(\zeta_1)]R(\zeta_2)$$

$$R(\zeta_2) = [I - (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)R(\zeta_1)]^{-1}R(\zeta_1)$$

$R(\zeta)$ is Holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma(L)$

Let
$$\zeta, \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma(L)$$
. Apply results for Neumann series:

$$R(\zeta) = [I - (\zeta - \zeta_0)R(\zeta_0)]^{-1}R(\zeta_0)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\zeta - \zeta_0)^n R(\zeta_0)^{n+1}$$

with this series being absolutely convergent if $|\zeta - \zeta_0| < ||R(\zeta_0)||^{-1}$. This is just the Taylor series expansion of R at $\zeta = \zeta_0$. Hence R is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma(L)$ with

$$R^{(n)}(\zeta) = n! R(\zeta)^{n+1}$$
 $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In addition, for $|\zeta|$ large, $|\zeta|^{-1}||L|| < 1$,

$$R(\zeta) = -\zeta^{-1}(1-\zeta^{-1}L)^{-1} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{-(n+1)}L^n$$

~

which is absolutely convergent if $|\zeta| > ||L||$. Thus, $R(\zeta)$ is holomorphic at ∞ , and $R(\zeta) \to 0$ as $\zeta \to \infty$.

Cauchy Integral Formulas and Laurent Series

Cauchy Integral Formulas: If f is analytic inside and on a simple closed curve C and z is any point inside C, then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

Moreover, the n^{th} derivative of f at z is given by

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^n} d\zeta , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

Laurent Series Expansions: If f is analytic inside and on the boundary of the annular shaped region R bounded by two concentric circles C_1 and C_2 with center at z_0 and respective radii r_1 and r_2 ($r_1 > r_2$), then for all z in R, ∞

$$f(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{(z - z_0)^n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n = P + A$$

where

$$a_{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_{1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_{0})^{n+1}} d\zeta, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$a_{-n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_{2}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - a)^{-n+1}} d\zeta, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$P = \text{principal part} \quad A = \text{analytic part}$$

Poles: If f(z) has Laurent expansion in which the principle part has only finitely many terms given by

$$\frac{a_{-1}}{z-z_0} + \frac{a_{-2}}{(z-z_0)^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{-n}}{(z-z_0)^n}$$

where $a_{-n} \neq 0$, then z_0 is a pole of order n for f. If n = 1, then it is a simple pole.

Essential Singularities: If f(z) has Laurent expansion in which the principle part has infinitely many terms, then z_0 is an essential singularity for f.

A function is **entire** if it is analytic on all of \mathbb{C} . If f is analytic on a region Ω except for finitely many poles, then f is said to be **meromorphic** on Ω .

The coefficient a_{-1} is called the **residue** of f.

Let f be single-valued and analytic inside and on a simple closed curve ${\cal C}$ except at the

singularities z_1, \ldots, z_n

inside C having

residues $a_{-1}^1, a_{-1}^2, \ldots, a_{-1}^n$.

Then

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^n a_{-1}^k.$$

The resolvent is meromorphic with poles at each eigenvalue. For the sake of simplicity we assume that $0 \in \Sigma(L)$ and we compute the Laurent series at the origin:

$$R(\zeta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta^n A_n,$$

where

$$A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \zeta^{-(n+1)} R(\zeta) d\zeta \qquad \forall \ n.$$

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be a contour around the origin slightly larger than $\Gamma,$ then

$$\begin{aligned} A_n A_m &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \oint_{\Gamma} \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-(n+1)} \omega^{-(m+1)} R(\omega) R(\zeta) d\omega d\zeta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \oint_{\Gamma} \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-(n+1)} \omega^{-(m+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} [R(\omega) - R(\zeta)] d\omega d\zeta . \end{aligned}$$

The Laurent Series of the Resolvent: Proof

For
$$|\zeta| < |\omega|$$
, or $\left|\frac{\zeta}{\omega}\right| < 1$,
 $\zeta^{-(n+1)}(\omega - \zeta)^{-1} = \zeta^{-(n+1)}\omega^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\omega}\right)^{-1} = \zeta^{-(n+1)}\omega^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\omega}\right)^{k}$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\zeta^{k-(n+1)}\omega^{-(k+1)} = \sum_{j=-n}^{\infty}\zeta^{j-1}\omega^{-(j+n+1)},$$

so for $n \ge 0$ the residue in ζ occurs for j = 0 which gives the residue $a_{-1} = \omega^{-(n+1)}$. By the Residue Theorem and geometric series,

$$\eta_n \omega^{-(n+1)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \zeta^{-(n+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta$$

= $-(1 - \eta_m) \zeta^{-(m+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \omega^{-(m+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} d\omega$,

with

$$\eta_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{for} & n \ge 0 \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{array} \right.$$

The Laurent Series of the Resolvent: Proof

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} A_n A_m &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \oint_{\Gamma} \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-(n+1)} \omega^{-(m+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} [R(\omega) - R(\zeta)] d\omega d\zeta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \oint_{\Gamma} \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-(n+1)} \omega^{-(m+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} R(\omega) d\omega d\zeta \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \oint_{\Gamma} \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-(n+1)} \omega^{-(m+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} R(\zeta) d\omega d\zeta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \oint_{\Gamma} \omega^{-(m+1)} R(\omega) \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-(n+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta d\omega \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-(n+1)} R(\zeta) \oint_{\Gamma} \omega^{-(m+1)} (\omega - \zeta)^{-1} d\omega d\zeta \\ &= \eta_n \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \omega^{-((m+n+1)+1)} R(\omega) d\omega \\ &+ (\eta_m - 1) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \zeta^{-((m+n+1)+1)} R(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &= (\eta_m + \eta_n - 1) A_{m+n+1} \,. \end{split}$$

n	m	n+m+1	$A_n A_m = (\eta_n + \eta_m - 1)A_{n+m+1}$	
-1	-1	-1	$A_{-1}^2 = -A_{-1}$	$-A_{-1} =: P$
				a projection
			$N := -A_2$	
-2	-2	-3	$A_{-2}^2 = -A_{-3}$	$-A_{-3} = N^2$
-2	-3	-4	$A_{-2}A_{-3} = -A_{-4}$	$-A_{-4} = N^3$
-2	-4	-5	$A_{-2}A_{-4} = -A_{-5}$	$-A_{-5} = N^4$
			:	$-A_{-k} = N^{k-1}$
				10

n	m	n+m+1	$A_n A_m = (\eta_n + \eta_m - 1)A_{n+m+1}$	
			$S := A_0$	
0	0	1	$A_0^2 = A_1$	$A_1 = S^2$
0	1	2	$A_0A_1 = A_2$	$A_{2} = S^{3}$
0	2	3	$A_0A_2 = A_3$	$A_3 = S^4$
			:	$A_{\perp} = S^{n+1} n \ge 0$

Therefore, for $\lambda_j\in\Sigma(T)\quad\exists\,P_j,N_j,S_j$ such that the Laurent series expansion for $R(\zeta)$ near λ_j is

$$R(\zeta) = \left[-(\zeta - \lambda_j)^{-1} P_j - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\zeta - \lambda_j)^{-(n+1)} N_j^n \right] + \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\zeta - \lambda_j)^n S_j^{(n+1)} \right] = C_j(\zeta) + S_j(\zeta) .$$

The Laurent Series of the Resolvent

Moreover,

n	m	n+m+1	$A_n A_m = (\xi_n + \xi_m - 1)A_{n+m+1}$
-1	-2	-2	$N_j = -A_{-2} = A_{-1}A_{-2} = P_j N_j$
-2	-1	-2	$N_j = -A_{-2} = A_{-2}A_{-1} = N_j P_j$
-1	0	0	$0 = 0 \cdot A_0 = A_{-1}A_0 = -P_j S_j$
0	-1	0	$0 = 0 \cdot A_0 = A_0 A_{-2} = -S_j P_j$

Hence, for each $\lambda_j\in \Sigma(A)$, the decomposition $R(\zeta)=C_j(\zeta)+S_j(\zeta)$ is a direct sum decomposition of R compatible with $V=M_j\oplus M_j'$, where $M_j=P_j\,V~~{\rm and}~~M_j'=(I-P_j)\,V$.

The Laurent Series of the Resolvent

The principal part of the Laurent expansion for $R(\zeta)$ at $\lambda_j \in \Sigma(L)$ acts on the subspace M_j . In particular, $R(\zeta)$ has an isolated singularity at $\zeta = \lambda_j$ but is otherwise convergent. Thus,

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (\zeta - \lambda_j)^{-(n+1)} N_j^n$$

is absolutely convergent on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{\lambda_j\}$. Setting $\zeta = \lambda_j + \xi$ for $\xi \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi^{-(n+1)} N_j^n < \infty.$$

Therefore, $\rho(N) \leq |\xi|$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. Consequently, $\rho(N) = 0$ and so N_j is nilpotent with

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(N_{j}\right) < \operatorname{rank}\left(P_{j}\right)$$

where

$$\operatorname{rank}(P_j) = \dim(M_j) = m_j.$$

Hence, λ_j is a pole of $R(\zeta)$ of order less than or equal to rank (P_j) since the principal part the Laurent series at λ_j is finite. Therefore, $R(\zeta)$ is meromorphic! **Claim:** If $\Sigma(L) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s\}$, then

$$P_j P_k = \delta_{jk} P_j \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} P_j = I \tag{2}$$

$$P_j L = L P_j . \tag{3}$$

Proof of (1)

(1) Show
$$P_j P_k = \delta_{jk} P_j$$
.

$$P_j P_k = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{\Gamma_k} R(\zeta) R(w) dw d\zeta,$$

where the regions defined by Γ_j and Γ_k do not overlap.

$$P_{j}P_{k} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \int_{\Gamma_{k}} (w-\zeta)^{-1} [R(w) - R(\zeta)] dw d\zeta$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{2} \left[\int_{\Gamma_{k}} \left[\int_{\Gamma_{j}} (w-\zeta)^{-1} R(w) d\zeta \right] dw$$

$$- \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \left[\int_{\Gamma_{k}} (w-\zeta)^{-1} R(\zeta) dw \right] d\zeta \right]$$

$$= 0 \qquad j \neq k.$$

Proof of (2)

(2) Show
$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} P_j = I$$
.

Let Γ be a simple closed curve containing all the singularities of $R(\zeta).$ Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}R(\zeta)ds = \text{ sum of the residues } = -\sum_{j=1}^{s}P_j.$$

Also, from the expansion of $R(\zeta)$ at $\infty,$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R(\zeta) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{-(n+1)} L^n ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -L^n \left(\int_{\Gamma} \zeta^{-(n+1)} d\zeta \right)$$
$$= -I.$$

(3) Show
$$P_jL = LP_j$$
.

L commutes with $R(\zeta)$ so that L commutes with

$$P_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_j} R(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Properties of the Resolvent

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and $L \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. If $\zeta \notin \sigma(L)$, then the operator $L - \zeta I$ is invertible. We have defined the resolvent of L as

$$R(\zeta) = (L - \zeta I)^{-1}$$

We have shown that for each $\lambda_j\in \Sigma(L) \quad \exists \ P_j, N_j, S_j \text{ such that}$

$$R(\zeta) = \left[-(\zeta - \lambda_j)^{-1} P_j - \sum_{n=1}^{m_j} (\zeta - \lambda_j)^{-(n+1)} N_j^n \right] \\ + \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\zeta - \lambda_j)^n S_j^{(n+1)} \right] \\ = C_j(\zeta) + S_j(\zeta) ,$$

where $m_j = \operatorname{rank}(P_j)$.

$$N_j P_j = N_j = P_j N_j, \qquad P_j S_j = 0 = S_j P_j, \qquad P_j P_k = \delta_{jk} P_j$$

and

$$P_jL = LP_j$$
.

Partial Fractions Decomposition of $R(\zeta)$

Let $C_j(\zeta)$ be the principal part of $R(\zeta)$ at each of its poles $\Sigma(L) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s\}$. Then the function

$$F(\zeta) = R(\zeta) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} C_j(\zeta)$$

has an analytic extension to all of $\mathbb C$ since the poles λ_j are removable. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\zeta \to \infty} F(\zeta) = 0$$

since

$$\lim_{\zeta\to\infty} C_j(\zeta)=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\zeta\to\infty} R(\zeta)=0 \quad \text{we have} \quad R(\zeta)=-\sum_{n=0}^\infty \zeta^{-(n+1)}L^n \ .$$

Therefore, $F(\zeta)$ is a bounded entire function. By Liouville's Theorem $F\equiv 0.$ Hence,

$$R(\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} C_j(\zeta) = -\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left[(\zeta - \lambda_j)^{-1} P_j + \sum_{n=1}^{m_j - 1} (\zeta - \lambda_j)^{-(n+1)} N_j^n \right]$$

.

Spectral Representation Theorem

Since $X = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_s$, we can write L as the sum of the operators $P_j L P_j$ $j = 1, \ldots, s$. Note that for each $j = 1, \ldots, s$

$$P_j L P_j = L P_j = \lambda_j P_j + N_j.$$

Therefore, L = S + N where $S = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j P_j$ and $N = \sum_{j=1}^{s} N_j$, with

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^{s} P_j$$

$$P_k P_j = P_j P_k = \delta_{jk} P_j$$

$$N_j P_k = P_k N_j = \delta_{kj} N_j$$

$$N_j P_k = P_k N_j = 0 \quad i \neq j \; .$$

This representation is unique in the sense that any other such representation L = S' + N' has S = S' and N = N'.