(1) Let

$$
K:=\mathbb{R}_{-}^{s} \times\{0\}^{m-s}=\left\{v \mid 0 \leq v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s, v_{i}=0 i=s+1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

(a) Show that $K^{\circ}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{s} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-s}$.

Solution: Let $(w, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{s} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-s}$. Then, for every $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}_{-}^{s} \times\{0\}^{m-s},\langle(w, z),(u, v)\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{s} w_{j} u_{j} \leq 0$ since $w_{j} \geq 0$ and $u_{j} \leq 0, j=1, \ldots, s$. So $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{s} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-s} \subset K^{\circ}$. Next let $(w, z) \in K^{\circ}$. If there is a $j_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $w_{j_{0}}<0$, then $\left\langle(w, z),\left(-e_{j_{0}}, 0\right)\right\rangle=-w_{j_{0}}>0$. But $\left(-e_{j_{0}}, 0\right) \in K$ which contradicts $(w, z) \in K^{\circ}$. So no such $j_{0}$ exists giving $K^{\circ} \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}^{s} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-s}$.
(b) Given $z \in K$, show that $N_{K}(z)=\left\{w \in K^{\circ} \mid w_{i} z_{i}=0 i=1, \ldots, s\right\}$.

Solution: Given $(u, v) \in K$,

$$
T_{K}((u, v))=\left\{(p, q) \mid p_{i} \leq 0 i \in I(u) \text { and } q=0\right\}
$$

where $I(u):=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, s\} \mid u_{i}=0\right\}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{K}((u, v)) & =T_{K}((u, v))^{\circ} \\
& =\left\{(w, z) \mid w_{i} \geq 0 i \in I(u), w_{i}=0 i \in\{1, \ldots, s\} \backslash I(u)\right\} \\
& =\left\{(w, z) \in K^{\circ} \mid w_{i} u_{i}=0 i=1, \ldots s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, and denote the $i$ th row of $A$ by $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Let $K:=\mathbb{R}_{-}^{s} \times\{0\}^{m-s}$ and set $\Omega:=\{x \mid A x-b \in K\}$ and let $\bar{x} \in \Omega$.
(a) Show that
$T_{\Omega}(\bar{x})=\left\{d \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid a_{i}^{T} d \leq 0 i \in I(\bar{x})\right.$ and $\left.a_{i}^{T} d=0 i=s+1, \ldots, m\right\}=\left\{d \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A d \in T_{K}(A \bar{x}-b)\right\}=: A^{-1} T_{K}(A \bar{x}-b)$, where $I(\bar{x}):=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, s\} \mid a_{i}^{T} \bar{x}=0\right\}$.
Solution: This follows immediately from the description of the tangent cone to $K$ given in (1)(b) above.
(b) Show that

$$
N_{\Omega}(\bar{x})=\left\{\sum_{i \in I(\bar{x})} u_{i} a_{i}+\sum_{i=s+1}^{m} u_{i} a_{i} \mid 0 \leq u_{i} i \in I(\bar{x})\right\}=\left\{A^{T} u \mid u \in N_{K}(A x-b)\right\}=: A^{T} N_{K}(A x-b) .
$$

Solution: This follows immediately from the description of the normal cone to $K$ given in (1)(b) above.
(3) Give an example of a smooth nonlinear function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a pair $x, d \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and a number $0<c<1$ for which $f^{\prime}(x ; d)<0$ but the backtracking line-seach fails to terminate in a finite number of steps.

Solution: Let $f(x):=e^{-x}-x, x=0, d=1$, and $c=\frac{1}{2}$. Then $f(x+t d)=f(t)=e^{-1}-t<1-t=f(x)+c t f^{\prime}(x ; d)$ for all $t>0$.
(4) Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuously differentiable with $\nabla F(x)$ invertible on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The Newton iteration for solving $F(x)=0$ is given by

$$
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{-1} F\left(x^{k}\right)
$$

Although this iteration converges at a quadratic rate locally, it may not converge if the initial point $x^{0}$ is too far from a solution. To compensate for this deficiency, the Newton iteration is often replaced by a damped Newton iteration of the form

$$
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-t_{k} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{-1} F\left(x^{k}\right)
$$

for some choice of $t_{k}>0$. We consider one approach to choosing $t_{k}$.
(a) Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x)=\|F(x)\|_{2}$. Show that if $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is such that $F(x) \neq 0$, then $f$ is differentiable at $x$ with

$$
\nabla f(x)=\nabla F(x)^{T} \frac{F(x)}{f(x)}
$$

Solution: Apply the chain rule in conjunction with the fact that the function $\phi(y)=\|y\|_{2}$ is differentiable at every point except $y=0$ with $\nabla \phi(y)=y /\|y\|_{2}$ for $y \neq 0$.
(b) Note that one can attempt to solve $F(x)=0$ by minimizing the function $f(x)$. This indicates that a damped Newton step size $t_{k}>0$ can be computed by doing a line search on the function $f$ in the Newton direction $d_{N}=-\nabla F(x)^{-1} F(x)$. As a first step, show that the Newton direction $d_{N}$ is a direction of descent at points $x$ for which $F(x) \neq 0$ by showing that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x ; d_{N}\right)=-\|F(x)\|_{2}
$$

Solution: Just plug in to see that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x ; d_{N}\right)=\nabla f(x)^{T} d_{N}=-\left(\nabla F(x)^{T} \frac{F(x)}{f(x)}\right)^{T} \nabla F(x)^{-1} F(x)=-\|F(x)\|_{2}
$$

(c) Show that the backtracking line search applies and takes the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t_{k}=\max & \gamma^{s} \\
\text { s.t. } & s \in\{0,1, \ldots\} \\
& \left\|F\left(x^{k}+\gamma^{s} d_{N}^{k}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq\left(1-c \gamma^{s}\right)\left\|F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\|_{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $\gamma \in(0,1)$ and $c \in(0,1)$ are the line search parameters.
Solution: We have

$$
f\left(x+t d_{N}\right) \leq f(x)+c t f^{\prime}\left(x ; d_{N}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad\left\|F\left(x+t d_{N}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq\|F(x)\|_{2}-c t\|F(x)\|_{2}=(1-c t)\|F(x)\|_{2}
$$

(5) Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be continuously differentiable and consider the problem of finding a point $x$ such that $F(x)=0$. This is the same problem that led to the development of Newton's method except now we are interested in the case where $n \neq m$. If $m<n$, then there are most likely infinitely many solutions. On the other-hand, if $m>n$, then there are probably no solutions. In this problem we consider the case when $m>n$. Since it is unlikely that there exists an $x$ satisfying $F(x)=0$, we instead try to find an $x$ that makes $\|F(x)\|$ as small as possible. For this we define $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|F(x)\|_{2}^{2}$. It is easily shown (try it) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla f(x) & =\nabla F(x)^{T} F(x) \\
\nabla^{2} f(x) & =\nabla F(x)^{T} \nabla F(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(x) \nabla^{2} F_{i}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $F_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the component functions of $F$.
(a) In this setting we still try to follow the path suggested by Newton where we successively solve for the search direction using the linearization of $F$. But in this case the search direction is given as the solution to the linear least squares problem

$$
\mathcal{G N} \quad \min _{d \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2}\left\|F\left(x^{k}\right)+\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right) d\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Methods using the solution to this problem as a search direction are called Gauss-Newton methods. Denote a solution by $d_{G N}$. Under what conditions does this problem have a unique solution?
Solution: Our work on the linear least-squares problem in Chapter 1 tells us that this problem has a unique solution if and only if $\operatorname{Nul}\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)=\{0\}$.
(b) When a unique solution to the problem $\mathcal{G \mathcal { N }}$ exists give a formula for $d_{G N}$.

Solution: Again from Chapter 1, $d_{G N}=-\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)^{-1} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} F\left(x^{k}\right)$.
(c) Use this formula for $d_{G N}$ to show that it is a descent direction for $f$ giving a formula for $f^{\prime}\left(x^{k} ; d_{G N}\right)$.

Solution: We Have

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x^{k} ; d_{G N}\right)=\nabla f\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} d_{G N}=-\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)^{T}\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)^{-1} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} F\left(x^{k}\right)<0
$$

whenever $\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} F\left(x^{k}\right) \neq 0$ since $\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)^{-1}$ is positive definite when $\operatorname{Nul}\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)=\{0\}$.
(d) Show that $d_{G N}$ is also a descent direction for the function $h\left(x^{k}\right)=\left\|F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\|_{2}$.

## Solution:

$h^{\prime}\left(x^{k} ; d_{G N}\right)=-\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} \frac{F\left(x^{k}\right)}{\left\|F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\|_{2}}\right)^{T}\left(\nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)^{-1} \nabla F\left(x^{k}\right)^{T} F\left(x^{k}\right)=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x^{k} ; d_{G N}\right)}{\left\|F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\|_{2}}<0$.
(e) Assuming that $\nabla F(x)$ is continuous, show that

$$
h^{\prime}(x ; d) \leq\|F(x)+\nabla F(x) d\|_{2}-h(x) .
$$

Solution: First observe that

$$
h(y)=\left\|F(x)+\nabla F(x)(y-x)+o\left(\|y-x\|_{2}\right)\right\|_{2}=\|F(x)+\nabla F(x)(y-x)\|_{2}+o\left(\|y-x\|_{2}\right),
$$

so that

$$
h^{\prime}(x ; d)=\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\|F(x)+t \nabla F(x) d\|_{2}-\|F(x)\|_{2}}{t} .
$$

Next note that for $0<t<1$, the convexity of the norm implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F(x)+t \nabla F(x) d\|_{2}-\|F(x)\|_{2} & =\|(1-t) F(x)+t(F(x)+\nabla F(x) d)\|_{2}-\|F(x)\|_{2} \\
& \leq(1-t)\|F(x)\|_{2}+t\|F(x)+\nabla F(x) d\|_{2}-\|F(x)\|_{2} \\
& =t\left(\|F(x)+\nabla F(x) d\|_{2}-\|F(x)\|_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this inequality with the expression for $h^{\prime}(x ; d)$ given above yields the result.

