

We can now reduce Hochman's
thm:

Hochman's Thm (Original Form)

Let Ξ be a IFS on $\mathbb{R}$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define

$$\Delta_n := \min \{ \text{dist}(\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j) \mid i \neq j, i, j \in \Sigma'_n \}$$

If $\dim_H(\Lambda) < \min(\text{sim-dim}(\Xi), 1)$

then $-\frac{1}{n} \log(\Delta_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$

Hochman's Thm: (Second Form)

Let Ξ be a IFS on $\mathbb{R}$,
with $p = (r_1^s, \dots, r_m^s)$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(\nu_p, \Delta_n)}{n \log(2)} < \min(\text{sim-dim}(\Xi), 1)$$

then

$$-\frac{1}{n} \log(\Delta_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$$

Let's now focus on reducing the dim to an IFS with uniform contraction ratios.

The following is similar to what appears in Hochman's paper as well as a 2009 paper by Peres and Shmerkin

Prop: Let $\Phi = (\phi_i)_{i=1}^m$ be an IFS on $\mathbb{R}^d$ where $d=1$ or $d=2$ with attractor Λ . Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an IFS $\Psi = (\psi_j)_{j=1}^k$ with attractor $\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that

- $\exists \rho \in (1, -1) \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $\frac{d}{dx} \psi_j = \rho \quad \forall j$.

- $\text{sim-dim}(\Psi) > \text{sim-dim}(\Phi) - \epsilon$

- $\tilde{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$

- For each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there exists $i \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, m\}^n$ s.t. $\psi_j = \phi_i$.

Pf: We prove the $d=1$ case

In D-S '09, they use the commutativity of rotations for $d=2$.

In the $d=1$ case, we can characterize Ξ in the following way:

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$\exists \rho_i \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_i(x) = \rho_i x + x_i$$

Let $s = \sin \dim(\Xi)$ and $r_i = |\rho_i|$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^s = 1.$$

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider the random walk which starts at 0 and moves from x to e_k with probability r_i^s

Let X_N = position of the random walk after N steps.

Then

$$E(X_N) = \sum_{i=1}^m N r_i^s e_i$$

Let

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^m [N r_i^s] e_i$$

and

$$\|v - E(X_N)\| \leq m^{1/2}.$$

Central limit theorem now implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{ \frac{X_N - v}{\sqrt{N}} \leq \frac{10\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{N}} \right\}\right) &\geq \left(\int_0^{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}} dy \right)^m \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{-m} \end{aligned}$$

for N large enough.

Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$

To each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N$

we associate a path in $\mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$p_i: \{0, 1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$$

where $p_i(0) = 0$ and

if $i_j = l$ then $p_i(j) - p_i(j-1) = e_l$.

Since multiplication is commutative,

for each i s.t. $p_i(N) = v$,

$$\phi_i(x) = \ell x + z_i$$

where

$$\ell = \prod_{j=1}^m \rho_j^{v_j}$$

and $z_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

The probability that $p_i(N) = v$ is equal to

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (r_i^s)^{v_i} \leq \prod_{i=1}^m r_i^{s N r_i^s}$$

Thus, if M_v is the number of paths s.t. $p_i(N) = v$, then

$$M_v \geq c(\sqrt{N})^{-m} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m r_i^{s N r_i^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

for some constant c .

Let

$$\Psi = \{ \phi_i \mid P_i(N) = v \}$$

Then

if $\phi_j \in \Psi$, then $\exists z_j \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_j(x) = \ell x + z_j.$$

Since Ψ is uniformly contracting,

$$\text{sim-dim}(\Psi) = \frac{\log(M_v)}{\log(1/|\ell|)}$$

Since $|\ell| = \prod_{i=1}^m r_i^{v_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^m r_i \prod_{i=1}^m r_i^{Nr_i^s}$

$$\frac{\log(M_v)}{\log(1/|\ell|)} \geq \frac{\log(c) + (-m/2)\log(N) + Ns \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^s \log(1/r_i)}{\sum \log(1/r_i) + N \sum r_i^s \log(1/r_i)}$$

$$\xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} s.$$

□.

We can now further reduce Hochman's
thm:

Hochman's Thm: (Second Form)

Let $\mathbb{I}$ be a IFS on $\mathbb{R}$,
with $p = (r_1^s, \dots, r_n^s)$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(\nu_p, \Delta_n)}{n \log(2)} < \min(\text{sim-dim}(\mathbb{I}), 1)$$

then

$$-\frac{1}{n} \log(\Delta_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$$

Hochman's Thm (Third Form)

Let $\mathbb{I}$ be a uniformly contracting
IFS on $\mathbb{R}$, with contraction $rc(0,1)$.

$$\text{If } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(\nu_p, \Delta_n)}{n \log(2)} < \min\left(\frac{\log(m)}{\log(1/r)}, 1\right)$$

then

$$-\frac{1}{n} \log(\Delta_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty.$$

Now we proceed with discretization:

For $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$

define

$$\nu^{(n)} := \sum_{i \in \Sigma_1^n} p_i \cdot \delta_{\phi_i(0)}$$

Then $\nu^{(n)} \rightarrow \nu_p$ weakly and

if $n' = n \frac{\log(1/r)}{\log(2)}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n'} H(\nu^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}_{n'}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\nu_p, \mathcal{D}_n) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\nu_p).$$

It, for each $i \in \Sigma_1^n$, there is a

$$z \in \mathcal{D}_{n'} \text{ s.t. } \phi_i(0) \in z$$

and $\phi_j(0) \in z \Rightarrow j=i$ then

$$\frac{1}{n'} H(\nu^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}_{n'}) = \frac{1}{n' \log(2)} \cdot \sum_{i \in \Sigma_1^n} p_i \log(p_i)$$

$$= \frac{n \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log(p_j)}{n \log(1/r)}$$

$$= \text{sim-dim}(\mu_p).$$

Thus, For any $m > n'$

$$\text{if } \frac{1}{m} H(v^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}_m) < \text{sim-dim}(\mu_p)$$

implies, there exists $q \in \mathcal{D}_m$

such that $\phi_i(o), \phi_j(o) \in q$ and $i \neq j$
 $i, j \in \Sigma_n.$

$$\Rightarrow |\phi_i(o) - \phi_j(o)| < 2^{-m}.$$

We've already shown that

If $\dim_{\mu_p}(v_p) < \text{sim-dim}(\mu_p)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n'} H(v_p, \mathcal{D}_{n'}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n'} H(v^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}_{n'})$$

$$< \text{sim-dim}(\mu_p).$$

So it suffices to prove the

following final reformulation of

Hochman's result:

Hochman's Thm (Final Form)

Let ν_p be a self-similar measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with uniform contraction ratios.

Let $\nu^{(n)} = \sum_{i \in \Sigma_n^1} p_i \delta_{\{\phi_i(\omega)\}}$. If

$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\nu_p) < 1$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\nu^{(n)}, D_{q^{n'}} | D_{n'}) = 0$$

for all $q > 1$.

(Here $H(\nu^{(n)}, D_{q^{n'}} | D_{n'}) = H(\nu^{(n)}, D_{q^{n'}}) - H(\nu^{(n)}, D_{n'})$)

Now we approach a proof of this theorem