

We are now ready to prove $T(1)$

Thm: (T(1) Theorem).

Let T be a singular integral operator such that $T, T^* : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$.

Assume that

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \max(|\langle h_T, T^* \varphi \rangle|, |\langle h_T, T \varphi \rangle|) \leq \|\varphi\|_2$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), T \in \mathcal{D}$.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \exists C > 0 \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|\langle \chi_{I_{2^k B}}, T^* a \rangle| + |\langle \chi_{I_{2^k B}}, T a \rangle| \leq C$$

for all smooth $\mathcal{K}^2(I_{2^k B})$ atoms a .

The T is bounded on $L^2(I_{2^k B})$

pf:

$$\text{Let } T(x_{[0,1]}) =: b_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$T^*(x_{[0,1]}) =: b_2. \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{By assumption} \\ b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right)$$

Define the operator

$$T_0 := T - \pi_{b_1} - \pi_{b_2}^*$$

$$\text{Then } T_0(x_{[0,1]}) = T_0^*(x_{[0,1]}) = 0.$$

We need decay estimates for this new operator. Let $I \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma \in [0,1] \setminus 2 \cdot I$.

$$\text{Since } \pi_{b_1} h_I(\gamma) = \pi_{b_2}^* h_I(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in I.$$

Therefore,

$$T_0 h_I(\gamma) = T h_I(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad T_0^* h_I(\gamma) = T^* h_I(\gamma)$$

$$\text{for } \gamma \in [0,1] \setminus 2 \cdot I.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_0 h_{\mathbb{I}}(y)| &= |T h_{\mathbb{I}}(y)| = \left| \int K(x, y) h_{\mathbb{I}}(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int (K(x, y) - K(x_{\mathbb{I}}, y)) h_{\mathbb{I}}(x) \right| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{I}|^{1/2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{I}} \sup_{y \in [0, \mathbb{I} + 2 \cdot \mathbb{I}]} |K(x, y) - K(x_{\mathbb{I}}, y)| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{I}|^{1/2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{I}} \sup_{y \in [0, \mathbb{I} + 2 \cdot \mathbb{I}]} \frac{|x - x_{\mathbb{I}}|^{\delta}}{|x - y|^{1+\delta}} \\ &\leq C \frac{|\mathbb{I}|^{1/2} |\mathbb{I}|^{\delta}}{[|\mathbb{I}| + \text{dist}(y, \mathbb{I})]^{1+\delta}} \quad \text{for } y \in [0, \mathbb{I} + 2 \cdot \mathbb{I}] \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$|T_0^* h_{\mathbb{I}}(y)| \leq C \frac{|\mathbb{I}|^{1/2 + \delta}}{[|\mathbb{I}| + \text{dist}(y, \mathbb{I})]^{1+\delta}}$$

Recall that $\Delta_n = E_{n+1} - E_n$, thus for any $f \in L^2$

$$f = E_0(f) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n f.$$

$$\text{and } \Delta_n f = \sum_{\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{D}_n} \langle f, h_{\mathbb{I}} \rangle h_{\mathbb{I}}$$

Note that since $T_0 \mathbf{1} = 0 = T_0^* \mathbf{1}$.

$$T_0 E_0 f = T_0(f) = 0$$

and $T_0^* E_0 f = T_0^*(f) = 0$ for any $f \in L^2$.

And for any $g \in L^2, f \in L^2$.

$$\langle E_0(T_0 g), f \rangle = \langle T_0 g, E_0(f) \rangle = \langle g, T_0^* E_0(f) \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_0(T_0 g) = 0 \quad \text{for any } g \in L^2.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 f &= T_0(E_0 f + \sum \Delta_n f) = T_0(\sum \Delta_n f) \\ &= E_0 T(\sum \Delta_n f) + \sum_m \Delta_m (T_0(\sum \Delta_n f)) \\ &= \sum_m \Delta_m [T_0(\sum_n \Delta_n f)] \\ &= \sum_{n,m} \Delta_m T_0 \Delta_n f \\ &= \sum_n \Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n f + \sum_{m>n} \Delta_m T_0 \Delta_n f + \sum_{n>m} \Delta_m T_0 \Delta_n f \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n f + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Delta_m T_0 E_m f + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n (T_0 \Delta_n f) \end{aligned}$$

We handle each term separately, applying Schur's Test and Cotlar's Lemma.

First,

$$(\Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n)^* = \Delta_n T_0^* \Delta_n$$

\Rightarrow for $n \neq m$,

$$(\Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n)^* (\Delta_m T_0 \Delta_m) = 0 = (\Delta_m T_0 \Delta_m) (\Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n)^*$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n \right\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}$$

Fix n .

Then $\Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n$ is an operator on the finite-dimensional space spanned by $\{h_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}_n}$.

Now for $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_n$

$$|\langle h_I, T_0 h_J \rangle| \leq \langle \chi_I, |T_0 h_J| \rangle |I|^{-1/2}$$

$$\leq |I|^{1/2} \sup_{\gamma \in I \cap (\mathcal{I}_0 \cap \mathcal{I}_J)} |T_0 h_J(\gamma)|$$

$$\leq |I|^{1/2} \frac{|J|^{1/2 + \delta}}{\text{dist}(I, J)^{1 + \delta}}$$

For fixed $I \in \mathcal{I}_n$

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}_n} |\langle h_I, T_{J_0} h_J \rangle| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k^{1+\delta}} \leq C(\delta)$$

Thus, by Schur's test

$$\| \Delta_n T_0 \Delta_n \|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq C(\delta) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Next, recall Cotlar's Lemma

Lemma: (Cotlar's Lemma)

Let $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^N$, $T_j: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $\gamma: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t.

$$\|T_j^* T_k\| \leq \gamma^2(j-k),$$

$$\|T_j T_k^*\| \leq \gamma^2(j-k).$$

If $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma(k) \leq A < \infty$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^N T_j \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}} \leq A.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$E_n T_0 \Delta_n$ is a transformation from the set

