A Note Concerning the isometry between
Hand H*
Given H and Ht, Riecz Rep.
The implies Flineer Benneh space
isonetry between Hand H# One
Can define an inner product on
$H^{*} \qquad \qquad$
So H and Ht are 4the same in
a very strong sense but not always in a
resolut sense
Example: Consider VÇH, (H, <: >,), (V, <: >,)
and V dense in H_{j} $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{v} \neq \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H} _{v \in V}$.
For example: H= L ² (N) (a,b) _H = I an bu
and $V = h^2(N) = \{a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^n \sum_{n=1}^n a_n ^2 \ge n^2 a_n ^2 = n^2 a_n ^2 = n^2 a_n ^2 = n^2 a_n ^2 = n^2 a_n ^2 \ge n^2 a_n ^2 = n^2 a_n ^2 $
with <a, b)="Inzanbn.</td"></a,>
$u_{n} = V^{+} = h^{-2} (N) = S \sum_{i=1}^{1} u_{n} ^{2} < \sigma^{2} $
Note: T: V-3V# isomporphism defined by T(=) = (n ² and)

Def: (Orthonormal Set) The set EXARAGE CH is orthonormal :4 $\langle x_{a}, x_{p} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & a \neq P \\ 1 & a = P \end{cases}$ d=} Given a linearly independent set Example, one can construct an orthonormal sequence Lening using Green-Schmidt such thet spangengnes = spangxng & for all N. Beacel's Inaquality If ExildeI is an orthonormal set in H, then for any ×eH $|\langle x_1 x_4 \rangle|^2 \leq ||x||^2$ 2

 $\frac{P}{2}: \quad \text{Suffices} \quad \text{to show for Finite}$ $\frac{P}{2}: \quad \text{Subcollectrons} \quad \text{EcA}$ $O \leq \| \times -\Sigma \langle \times, \times_{A} \rangle \times_{A} \|^{2}$ $= \| \| \times \|^{2} - 2\text{Re} \left(\sum \langle \times_{1}, \times_{A} \rangle \langle \overline{\times_{3}, \overline{\times_{A}} \rangle} \right) + \| \sum \langle \times_{1, \overline{X}} \times_{A} \|^{2}$ $= \| \times \|^{2} - 2 \sum | \langle \times_{1}, \times_{A} \rangle|^{2} + \sum | \langle \times_{1}, \times_{A} \rangle|^{2}$ $\Rightarrow \quad \sum | \langle \times_{1}, \times_{A} \rangle|^{2} \leq \| \| \times \|^{2}$ $\prod .$

Thur: IS {x_d?_{deI} is an artimornal set in H, the Following are equivalent a.) IF (x, x_d>=0 4 deI, then x=0. b.) Parsonal's Identity: $\sum_{x \in I} |\langle x, x_d \rangle|^2 = 1|x||^2 \quad \forall x \in H$ c.) For x eH, $x = \sum_{x \in I} \langle x, x_d \rangle x_d.$

<u>Pf:</u> algebra



Example: l'(N) = { .: N - c } [2 lan 2 c ~] Let $\{e_n^m\}_{n=1}^m = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq n \\ 1 & n = n \end{cases}$ deren $\langle e^{m}, e^{n} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, n \neq n \\ 1, n = n \end{cases}$ and $\langle a, e^n \rangle = a_n$. Propie H is separable >>> H has an orthonormal Schender Basis. (=>) Use Gram-Schmidt. <u>P4:</u> (=) Partill sums over QtiQ ave dense.

Unitary Operators. Det: Let (H1, 2, 1, 1) and (H2, 2, 1, 1) be Hilbert Spaces. An invertible, linear U:H2 >H2 satisfying and $\langle U \times , U_{Y} \rangle_{1} = \langle \times , Y \rangle_{1}$ $\forall \times , Y \in H_{1}.$ is called Unitary. Note's U is an isometry. Exi Let 2 unin=1 be an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space, H. Then fle map $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{s}(\mathcal{W})$ defined by #(x):= { <x, un> } is unitary. (Pset 3).

Adjoint Operators
Let $T \in Z(H, H)$
Prop: a)]! T* EZ(H, H) called the
adjoint of T satisfying
$\langle T_{\kappa}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \star, T^* \gamma \rangle.$
Ь) IIT#II=IITII, IIT#ТII=IITII ²)
(aS+bT)*= aS*+bT*, (ST)*=T*S*
and T++-T.
c) Let [Z(T) = range & T
and N(T) = nullepace of T - ExeH Tx=03
then $\mathbb{R}(T)^{\perp} = \mathbb{N}(T^*)$
and $N(T)^{\perp} = \overline{R(T^{*})}$.
pt: a) Let yEH. Define the map fulk)= (The)
Then JyEHt and by Riesz Repten, there
exists ZyeH s.t. <tx,y>= <x, zy="">.</x,></tx,y>
Now define T* by

 $T^*:H \rightarrow H$, $T^*(\gamma) := 2\gamma$. Then $\langle T_{x,y} \rangle = \langle x, T^{*}y \rangle$. linear over C. (Exercise). **T*** is Moneover, 11+11 = sup [<++++ [<++++++] 1 = 11 = 11 - 1 - 1 = sup | < x, T*y> = sup | < Tx, y> | Including la llx11=11y11=1 = ||T1\ 2 ~. >> T* + Z(H,H) and 117+11=11711. L.) || T = T || = Sup | 1<x, T=Ty>| ||~||=||y|=| = 540 [<Tx, Ty>] Observe $||T||^2 = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||T_x||^2$ = sup [<Tx, Tx>] 11x11=1 < sup ILTE, TYDI & (Sup II TEN) Inclashyllar - 117112

< +, T ++ y> = < T + x, y>= < 1, T+x> $= \overline{\langle \tau_{\gamma}, x \rangle} = \langle x, \tau_{\gamma} \rangle$ -> T++ - T. (c) Want to prove R(T) = N(T*) and N(T) - R(T+) Y + R(TY Z > <Tx, y>= U for all x+H L=> < x, T+y>=0 For all x+H E> T+y=0 E> yEN(T+). which implies PCTY = N(T+). we can also conclude Now, RLT#1 = N(T). Thus $(R(\tau*)^{\perp})^{\perp} = N(\tau)^{\perp}$ which implies R(T*) = N(T)1.

An Important Class of Banach Spaces
L ^P Speces
Let (X,M,u) be a o-finite,
complete measure spece.
For 14pcas, define
LP(u) := Sf:x > C f measurable [Fequiv. class, SIFIPd-u<0]
$\ \ F\ \ _{P(m)} = \ F\ _{P} := \left(\sum_{k} F ^{p} dm \right)^{1/p}$
The case p=00
Def: Let S:x-> C be measurable.
befire the essential supremum of
to be
I = I := int { C 20 + 601 < C }
Uet. Lao(m):= {}:x>{ } meesurable, & equiv.cher

Observe' LP(-n) is a linear space For 15250 17+glPs 2P(171P+1glP).

Goal: Show that II.IIp is a norm. In particular,

- · IIFILP = U Z F = O (Straight forward)
- · laflp = |all1+11p (Easy)
- · M-inequality (more diffrault).