

Weak L^p

Def: (Distribution Function)

Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be measurable. We define the distribution function

$$\lambda_f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty].$$

by

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) := \mu(\{x \in X \mid |f(x)| > \alpha\}).$$

Prop:

a.) λ_f is decreasing and right continuous.

b.) $|f| \leq |g|$ implies $\lambda_f \leq \lambda_g$

c.) $|f_n| \nearrow |f|$ implies $\lambda_{f_n} \nearrow \lambda_f$

d.) If $f = g + h$, then $\lambda_f(\alpha) \leq \lambda_g(\frac{1}{2}\alpha) + \lambda_h(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)$.

Pf: Straight-forward.

Prop: IF $\lambda_f(a) < \infty$ for all $a > 0$ and ϕ is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$\int_X \phi \circ |f| d\mu = - \int_0^\infty \phi(a) d\lambda_f(a).$$

Prf: Let ν_f be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_f([a, b]) &:= \lambda_f(b) - \lambda_f(a) = -\mu(\{a < |f| \leq b\}) \\ &= -\mu(|f|^{-1}([a, b])). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nu_f(E) = -\mu(|f|^{-1}(E)) \quad \text{for any } E \text{ Borel.}$$

Then for χ_E

$$\int \chi_E \circ |f| d\mu = \int \chi_{|f|^{-1}(E)} d\mu$$

$$= \mu(|f|^{-1}(E))$$

$$= -\nu_f(E)$$

$$= - \int \chi_E d\nu_f = - \int \chi_E d\lambda_f \quad \square$$

Cor: $\int |f|^p d\mu = \int_0^\infty \alpha^p d\lambda_f(\alpha)$

und $\int |f|^p d\mu = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha$

Def: For $1 \leq p < \infty$ define

$$[f]_p := \left(\sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^p \lambda_f(\alpha) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\text{weak-}L^p := \left\{ f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{array}{l} f \text{ measurable} \\ [f]_p < \infty \end{array} \right\},$$

Note: $[f]_p$ is not a norm.

Note: Chebyshev $\Rightarrow [f]_p \leq \|f\|_p$.

Why do we care about weak- L^p spaces?

At times, unbounded operators on L^p spaces can be shown to be bounded in the following weak sense:

$$[Tf]_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

For example, if M = Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function. Then

$$[Mf]_1 \leq \|f\|_1.$$

Moreover, this weak boundedness can be used to establish actual boundedness on other L^p spaces via Interpolation.

Interpolation

Observe: For $1 \leq p < q < r \leq \infty$

$$L^p \cap L^r \subset L^q \subset L^p + L^r$$

Def: (1) T is called sublinear if

$$\bullet |T(f+g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg|$$

$$\bullet |T(cf)| = c |Tf| \text{ for } c > 0$$

(2) A sublinear map, T , is strong type
 (p, q) if $L^p(\mu) \subset D(T)$, $T: L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$
and $\exists C > 0$ s.t.

$$\|Tf\|_q \leq C \|f\|_p \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p$$

(3) A sublinear map, T , is weak type

(p, q) if $L^p(\mu) \subset D(T)$, $T: L^p(\mu) \rightarrow \text{weak-}L^q$
and $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$[Tf]_q \leq C \|f\|_p \quad \forall f \in L^p$$

(Note: weak type $(p, \infty) =$ strong type (p, ∞) .)

Observe: T strong type $(p, q) \Rightarrow T$ weak type (p, q) .

The Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem

Thm: Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) be measure spaces.

Let $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$.

$p_0 \leq q_0, p_1 \leq q_1$ and $q_0 \neq q_1$

Define

$$\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-t}{p_0} + \frac{t}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q} := \frac{1-t}{q_0} + \frac{t}{q_1}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$.

If T is a sublinear map from

$L^{p_0} + L^{p_1}$ to the space of measurable functions on Y that is both

weak type (p_0, q_0)

and weak type (p_1, q_1)

Then T is strong type (p, q) .

We will not prove this.

Applications

Boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function.

Recall: For $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$Mf(x) := \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B |f| dm \mid \begin{array}{l} x \in B \\ B \text{ is a ball} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Thm:

$$\|Mf\|_1 \leq C \|f\|_1$$

Pf: δ_r covering lemma.

Claim: \bullet Mf is sublinear

$$\bullet \|Mf\|_\infty \leq C \|f\|_\infty$$

Corollary to Marcinkiewicz Interpolation

The Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function is strong-type (p, p) for $1 < p < \infty$.