

Point counting on intersections of adjoint orbits with ad-nilpotent ideals over $\mathbb{F}_q$

Mohammad Bardestani^{*1}, Keivan Mallahi-Karai^{†2}, Samrith Ram^{‡3} and
Hadi Salmasian^{§4}

¹John Abbott College, 275 Rue Lakeshore, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC H9X 1S2, Canada

²Constructor University, Campus Ring I, 28759 Bremen, Germany

³Indraprastha Institute of Information Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

⁴Department of Mathematics, University of Ottawa, STEM Complex, 150 Louis-Pasteur Pvt,
Ottawa, ON, K1N6N5, Canada

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the Lie algebra of upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. For every $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -stable ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of strictly upper triangular matrices, and every partition μ of n , we obtain an explicit formula for the number of elements of $\mathfrak{a}$ of Jordan type μ . Up to an explicit factor depending on q , our formula is given by the Hall scalar product of a modified Hall–Littlewood function indexed by μ and a chromatic quasisymmetric function associated to $\mathfrak{a}$. In the special case that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{u}_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $\mathfrak{u}_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the nilradical of the standard parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ associated to a composition Λ of n , our formula reduces to the statement (recently proved by Karp and Thomas) that up to an explicit polynomial factor in q , the number of elements in $\mathfrak{u}_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of Jordan type μ is equal to the coefficient of the monomial $\mathbf{x}^\Lambda$ in the specialization of the dual Macdonald symmetric function $Q_{\mu'}(\mathbf{x}; q^{-1}, t)$ at $t = 0$. We obtain a new and shorter proof of the latter assertion using a parabolic variation of Borodin’s division algorithm.

We give four applications of our main result stated above. First, we obtain an analogous formula for the number of points of a nilpotent Hessenberg variety. Second, we demonstrate that a recurrence relation of Kirillov for the number of strictly upper triangular matrices of Jordan type μ is a straightforward consequence of our main result combined with the Cauchy identity for Macdonald polynomials. Third, we give an explicit formula for the number of $X \in \mathfrak{u}_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ that satisfy $X^2 = 0$. In the special case $\Lambda = (1^n)$, our formula is different from the one conjectured by Kirillov and Melnikov (and proved by Ekhad and Zeilberger). However, we obtain a new proof of the Kirillov–Melnikov–Ekhad–Zeilberger formula using our latter result and a formula for two-rowed Macdonald polynomials by Jing and Józefiak. Fourth, we give an

^{*}mohammad.bardestani@gmail.com

[†]kmallahika@constructor.university

[‡]samrithram@gmail.com

[§]hadi.salmasian@uottawa.ca

explicit formula for the number of double cosets $U_1 \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) / U_2$ where U_1 and U_2 denote unipotent subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ that correspond to two $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -stable ideals of $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Keywords: Finite fields, Jordan form, Macdonald polynomials, q -Hermite polynomials, Hessenberg functions, chromatic quasisymmetric functions.

Detailed proofs of all results in this extended abstract can be found in [2].

1 Ad-nilpotent orbital varieties: main results

Let $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the group of $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrices over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with all of the diagonal entries equal to 1, and let $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the Lie algebra of $n \times n$ upper-triangular nilpotent matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In an influential and elegant paper [18], Kirillov initiated a comprehensive study of the orbit method for $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, establishing various connections between the adjoint and coadjoint orbit structures of $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and representation theory. In connection to these ideas, Kirillov posed the following problem:

Given a partition μ of n , count the number of $X \in \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of Jordan type μ .

This problem was investigated by Kirillov himself and revisited later on by Borodin [3], who used a technique called “the division algorithm” to provide a recursive formula for the number of these matrices. Borodin applied this formula to study the asymptotic behavior of the Jordan type of strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

One can think of $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as the nilradical of the standard Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In this setting Kirillov’s question is about the number of points of the (not necessarily irreducible) variety obtained as the intersection of $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Over $\mathbb{C}$, such varieties are sometimes called *orbital varieties*; see for example [15]. From this viewpoint it is natural to ask Kirillov’s question for nilradicals of other parabolic subalgebras, or even more generally, for any ideal of $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ that is stable under the action of the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The latter ideals are sometimes simply called *ad-nilpotent ideals* of $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Their connection with nilpotent orbits has attracted attention (for example see [6, 5, 8]). Our primary goal in this paper is to answer this more general form of Kirillov’s question (see [Theorems 1.6, 1.8 and 1.9](#)). Subsequently, we give various applications of the latter theorems.

Recall that a composition Λ of n is a finite tuple of positive integers whose sum equals n . When the parts of the composition form a weakly decreasing sequence, we call it a partition. The parabolic subgroup of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ associated to Λ is denoted by $P_\Lambda = P_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and the unipotent radical of P_Λ is denoted by $U_\Lambda = U_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The

nilradical of the parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ associated to Λ will be denoted by $u_\Lambda = u_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$. For instance when $\Lambda = (2, 3, 1)$ we have:

$$P_\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the $*$'s represent arbitrary elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$. For $\Lambda = (1^n)$, we obtain $u_\Lambda = u_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

We now give a simple yet quite useful characterization of the ad-nilpotent ideals of $u_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, connecting them to Dyck paths.

Definition 1.1 (Hessenberg function). A Hessenberg function is a non-decreasing function $h: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ such that $h(i) \geq i$ for every $i \in [n]$, where $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. The set of all Hessenberg functions on $[n]$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n$. We sometimes identify a Hessenberg function by the n -tuple $(h(1), \dots, h(n))$.

One can naturally associate a graph to h which is the graph with vertex set $[n]$ and set of edges $E = \{\{i, j\} \mid i < j \leq h(i)\}$. This graph, known as the *indifference graph* of h , will be denoted by $G(h)$. A Hessenberg function $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ also produces a partial order on $[n]$ by declaring $i \prec_h j$ if and only if $h(i) < j$. We denote the poset $([n], \preceq_h)$ by $\mathcal{P}_h$. The graph $G(h)$ is the *incomparability graph* of the poset $\mathcal{P}_h$. Associated to h , define a Lie subalgebra of $u_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by $u_h = u_h(\mathbb{F}_q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(a_{ij}) \in u_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } j \leq h(i)\}$.

Example 1.2. For $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ where $h = (2, 3, 5, 5, 5)$ we have

$$u_h = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : * \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \\ \text{G}(h) \end{array}$$

Proposition 1.3. The assignment $h \mapsto u_h$ is a bijective correspondence between $\mathcal{H}_n$ and ad-nilpotent ideals of $u_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Throughout this extended abstract, we denote $\sum_{i=1}^n h(i)$ by $|h|$. The (non-commutative) product of $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_m$ is defined by

$$h = h_1 \sqcup h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{n+m}; \quad h(i) = \begin{cases} h_1(i) & 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ n + h_2(i - n) & n + 1 \leq i \leq n + m. \end{cases}$$

The Hessenberg function $k_n = (n, \dots, n) \in \mathcal{H}_n$ is called the complete Hessenberg function of size n . To each composition $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_s)$ of n we assign the Hessenberg function $k_\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k_{\Lambda_1} \sqcup k_{\Lambda_2} \sqcup \dots \sqcup k_{\Lambda_s}$, and we note that $u_{k_\Lambda} = u_\Lambda$.

For any $k \geq 1$ let J_k be the $k \times k$ nilpotent (upper triangular) Jordan block. Given a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ of n (written $\mu \vdash n$), we use J_μ to denote the square block-diagonal matrix of size $|\mu| = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k$ with diagonal blocks $J_{\mu_1}, \dots, J_{\mu_k}$. We say that the Jordan type of a matrix is μ when its Jordan form is J_μ .

Definition 1.4 (Jordan type counting function). Let μ be a partition of n . For a given composition Λ of n we set $F_{\mu\Lambda}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(C_\mu \cap u_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q))$, where $C_\mu = C_\mu(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -conjugacy class of J_μ . For a Hessenberg function $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ set $F_{\mu h}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(C_\mu \cap u_h(\mathbb{F}_q))$.

Recall that the length of a partition μ , denoted by $\ell(\mu)$, is the number of its parts. It is convenient to define $\mu_i = 0$ for all $i > \ell(\mu)$. The conjugate of μ , denoted by $\mu' = (\mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_l)$, is defined by $\mu'_j = \#\{1 \leq i \leq k : \mu_i \geq j\}$. In particular we have $\mu'_1 = \ell(\mu)$ and $l = \ell(\mu') = \mu_1$. For instance the conjugate of $\mu = (3, 2, 2, 1)$ is $\mu' = (4, 3, 1)$. Given partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s)$ of n , we say that ν “dominates” μ , and we write $\mu \leq \nu$, if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^i \nu_j$ for $i \geq 1$. Note that conjugation reverses the dominance order, i.e., $\nu' \leq \mu'$. We also remark that $\ell(\nu) \leq \ell(\mu)$ when $\mu \leq \nu$.

Assigned to each partition μ we define $n(\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\mu_i = \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{\mu'_i}{2}$. As usual, to any $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ we associate a Young diagram of shape μ : a left-justified shape of k rows of boxes, where the i -th row from the top has μ_i boxes for $1 \leq i \leq k$. A standard Young tableau is a filling of the Young diagram of μ by $\{1, \dots, |\mu|\}$ with strictly increasing rows and columns. A semi-standard Young tableau of shape μ is a filling of this Young diagram by positive integers with weakly increasing rows and strictly increasing columns. A semi-standard Young tableau with shape μ has content Λ if there are Λ_i occurrences of i for every $i \geq 1$. The number of semi-standard Young tableaux with shape μ and content Λ is called a Kostka number and it is denoted by $K_{\mu\Lambda}$.

For partitions ν and μ , we write $\nu \subseteq \mu$ to mean that the Young diagram of μ contains the Young diagram of ν , i.e., $\nu_i \leq \mu_i$ for all $i \geq 1$. The set-theoretic difference of the Young diagrams of μ and ν , denoted by μ/ν , is called a skew diagram. A horizontal k -strip is a skew diagram with k boxes with no two squares in the same column. For instance the skew diagram $(5, 4, 4, 1)/(4, 4, 2)$ is a horizontal 4-strip. For a composition Λ of n we use $\text{sort}(\Lambda)$ to denote the partition obtained by sorting the parts of Λ in decreasing order. For a non-negative integer n we adopt the following conventions for q -integers, q -factorials, and q -binomial coefficients:

$$[0]_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0, \quad [n]_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}, \quad [0]_q! \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1, \quad [n]_q! \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [1]_q \cdots [n]_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}.$$

Definition 1.5. Let λ and μ be partitions of n with $\ell(\lambda) = s$. We define

$$b_{\mu\lambda}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{j=1}^s \theta_{\mu^j/\mu^{j-1}}(q),$$

with

$$\theta_{\eta/\rho}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{[|\eta| - |\rho|]_q!}{[\eta_1 - \rho_1]_q!} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left[\begin{matrix} \rho_i - \rho_{i+1} \\ \eta_{i+1} - \rho_{i+1} \end{matrix} \right]_q, \quad (1.1)$$

where η/ρ is a horizontal strip and $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(\mu, \lambda)$ is the set of all chains of partitions $\emptyset = \mu^0 \subseteq \mu^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mu^s = \mu$, such that μ^j/μ^{j-1} is a horizontal λ_j -strip. The set $\mathcal{F}(\mu, \lambda)$ is naturally in bijection with semistandard tableaux of shape μ and content λ . By convention, $b_{\mu\lambda}(q) = 0$ when $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. Note that in Equation (1.1) we have $\rho_i - \rho_{i+1} \geq \eta_{i+1} - \rho_{i+1}$ because η/ρ is a horizontal strip.

We now state the first main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.6. *Let Λ be a composition of n and set $\lambda = \text{sort}(\Lambda)$. Let μ be a partition of n . Then $F_{\mu\Lambda}(q) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda \trianglelefteq \mu'$. When $\lambda \trianglelefteq \mu'$, the following hold:*

1. $F_{\mu\Lambda}(q) = F_{\mu\lambda}(q) = (q-1)^{n-\ell(\mu)} q^{\binom{n}{2} + \ell(\mu) - n(\mu) - n} b_{\mu'\lambda}(1/q)$.
2. $F_{\mu\lambda}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, the degree of $F_{\mu\lambda}(q)$ is $\binom{n}{2} - n(\mu)$, and its leading coefficient is $K_{\mu'\lambda}$, the Kostka number of shape μ' and content λ .
3. There exists a polynomial $R_{\mu\lambda}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ such that $R_{\mu\lambda}(0) = 1$, $R_{\mu\lambda}(1) > 0$, and

$$F_{\mu\lambda}(q) = (q-1)^{n-\ell(\mu)} q^{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{\ell(\mu)}{2} - \sum_{j \geq 1} \mu'_j \mu'_{j+1}} R_{\mu\lambda}(q). \quad (1.2)$$

Parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.6 extend some of the results of [3] and [9, Theorem 4.1]. The main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.6 is a parabolic variant of Borodin's division algorithm to reduce the counting problem from $u_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to a smaller nilradical. The $b_{\mu\lambda}(q)$ are related to the coefficients of Macdonald polynomials up to an explicit normalization factor, as we explain below.

Definition 1.7. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ be a partition of n . For any partition $\mu \vdash n$, we define

$$a_{\mu\lambda}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{j=1}^s \psi_{\mu^j/\mu^{j-1}}(q) \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_{\eta/\rho}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left[\begin{matrix} \eta_i - \eta_{i+1} \\ \eta_i - \rho_i \end{matrix} \right]_q, \quad (1.3)$$

where η/ρ is a horizontal strip and $\mathcal{F}$ represents $\mathcal{F}(\mu, \lambda)$ as in Theorem 1.5. By convention, $a_{\mu\lambda}(q) = 0$ when $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$.

Let $P_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ denote the Macdonald symmetric function in $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ indexed by μ . Also, let the $Q_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ denote the duals of the $P_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ with respect to the q, t -scalar product [20, p. 323, Eq. (4.12)]. From [20, p. 346, Eq. (7.13')], one obtains

$P_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q, 0) = \sum_\nu a_{\mu\nu}(q) m_\nu(\mathbf{x})$, where $m_\nu(\mathbf{x})$ is the monomial symmetric polynomial associated to ν . Then we have [22, Theorem 1.6]

$$b_{\mu\lambda}(q) = \left(\prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{[\lambda_i]_q!}{[\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}]_q!} \right) a_{\mu\lambda}(q). \quad (1.4)$$

We denote the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots$ in $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ by $[\mathbf{x}^\lambda] \Phi(\mathbf{x})$. Then using Equation (1.4), we can state the formula for $F_{\mu\lambda}(q)$ in Theorem 1.6 as follows.

Theorem 1.8. *Let μ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ be partitions of n and $\lambda \leq \mu'$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\lambda}(q) &= \frac{(q-1)^{n-\ell(\mu)} q^{\sum_{i \geq 1} (\mu'_i - \mu'_{i+1}) + \binom{n}{2} + \ell(\mu) - n(\mu) - n(\lambda') - n} [\lambda]_q!}{\prod_{i \geq 1} [\mu'_i - \mu'_{i+1}]_q!} [\mathbf{x}^\lambda] P_{\mu'}(\mathbf{x}; 1/q, 0) \\ &= (q-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2} - n(\mu) - n(\lambda') - n} [\lambda]_q! [\mathbf{x}^\lambda] Q_{\mu'}(\mathbf{x}; 1/q, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\lambda]_q! \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\lambda_1]_q! \dots [\lambda_s]_q!$.

The formula in Theorem 1.8 that relates $F_{\mu\lambda}(q)$ to $P_{\mu'}(\mathbf{x}; 1/q, 0)$ has also been proved recently by Karp and Thomas [16]. The *transformed Hall–Littlewood functions* $H_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q)$ are defined by $H_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_\eta K_{\eta\mu}(q) s_\eta(\mathbf{x})$, where the $s_\eta(\mathbf{x})$ are the Schur functions and the $K_{\eta\mu}(q)$ are the Kostka polynomials [20, Chapter III, Section 6]. The *modified Hall–Littlewood functions* are defined by $\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q^{n(\mu)} H_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 1/q)$.

In order to state the next result, we start by reviewing the definition of the chromatic quasisymmetric functions introduced in an influential paper by Shareshian and Wachs [23]. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with V a set of positive integers. Given a subset S of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, a proper S -coloring of G is a function $\kappa: V \rightarrow S$ such that $\kappa(i) \neq \kappa(j)$ whenever $\{i, j\} \in E$. Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the set of proper $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ -colorings of G . Stanley [24] defined the chromatic symmetric function of G as

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \prod_{v \in V} x_{\kappa(v)},$$

The *chromatic quasisymmetric function* of $G = (V, E)$ is a q -deformation of Stanley's chromatic symmetric function defined by:

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}; q) := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)} q^{\text{asc}(\kappa)} \prod_{v \in V} x_{\kappa(v)},$$

where $\text{asc}(\kappa) := |\{\{i, j\} \in E(G) : i < j \text{ and } \kappa(i) < \kappa(j)\}|$, is the number of ascents of the coloring κ . The chromatic quasisymmetric function is not symmetric in general. However, for the graphs $G(h)$, where $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$, Shareshian and Wachs' theorem [23, Theorem

4.5] shows that $X_{G(h)}(\mathbf{x}; q)$ is a symmetric function with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. Therefore, $X_{G(h)}(\mathbf{x}; q)$ can be expressed in terms of the elementary symmetric functions:

$$X_{G(h)}(\mathbf{x}; q) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\lambda h}(q) e_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Hall scalar product [20, p. 63, Eq. (4.5)].

Theorem 1.9. *Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ be a Hessenberg function and let μ be a partition of n . Then $F_{\mu h}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is a polynomial in q and*

$$F_{\mu h}(q) = |u_h| \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{c_{\lambda h}(q)}{[\lambda]_q!} \frac{F_{\mu \lambda}(q)}{|u_{\lambda}|} = \frac{q^{n^2 - |h|} (q-1)^n}{|Z_G(I + J_{\mu})|} \langle \tilde{H}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}; q), X_{G(h)}(\mathbf{x}; q) \rangle, \quad (1.5)$$

where $Z_G(I + J_{\mu})$ is the centralizer of $I + J_{\mu}$ in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

The strategy of the proof of [Theorem 1.9](#) is a reduction process from $F_{\mu h}(q)$ to the $F_{\mu \lambda}(q)$ using the *modular law* of Abreu and Nigro [1].

As in [Theorem 1.6](#), it is interesting to determine for which μ and h we have $F_{\mu h}(q) \neq 0$. In [Theorem 1.10](#), we address this problem and indeed prove a stronger result over an arbitrary field. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be any poset of cardinality n and let $c_k(\mathcal{P})$ denote the maximum possible cardinality of a union $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$ where C_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) is a chain in $\mathcal{P}$. For each $i \geq 1$, define integers λ_i by $c_k(\mathcal{P}) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Then it can be shown that the sequence λ_i ($i \geq 1$) is weakly decreasing and a partition of n (see [4, Theorem 2.1]). This partition is called the Greene-Kleitman shape of $\mathcal{P}$, denoted $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}$. The Greene-Kleitman shape of $\mathcal{P}_h$ is denoted λ_h for simplicity. A simple algorithm for determining λ_h essentially goes back to an early influential paper of Gerstenhaber [11, p. 535] (also see [8, Proposition 6.8]).

Theorem 1.10. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field, and let $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ be a Hessenberg function with Greene-Kleitman shape λ_h . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mu} = \mathcal{C}_{\mu}(\mathbb{F})$ denote the $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ -conjugacy class of J_{μ} . Then, for any partition μ of n , we have $\mathcal{C}_{\mu} \cap u_h(\mathbb{F}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mu \leq \lambda_h$.*

Let $U_h = U_h(\mathbb{F}_q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{I + A : A \in u_h(\mathbb{F}_q)\}$ denote the unipotent subgroup of $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ associated with h . Understanding $F_{\mu h}(q)$ reduces to analyzing the induced representation of the trivial representation from $U_h(q)$ to $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This approach was explored by Gagnon, among other things, in his recent and inspiring paper [10]. To describe the connection to Gagnon's work, we need to recall Zelevinsky's construction [27] which introduces a family of Hopf algebras, known as PSH-algebras, that unify the representation theories of S_n and $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In Gagnon's notation, Zelevinsky's construction produces a graded Hopf algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbf{p}_{\{1\}} : \text{cf}_{\text{supp}}^{\text{uni}}(GL_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}, \quad \delta_{\lambda} \mapsto \tilde{P}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}; q) := q^{-n(\lambda)} P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}; q^{-1}),$$

where Sym is the ring of symmetric functions with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ and $P_\lambda(\mathbf{x}; t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_\lambda(\mathbf{x}; 0, t)$ denotes a Hall–Littlewood function. Here $\text{cf}_{\text{supp}}^{\text{uni}}(\text{GL}_\bullet)$ is the PSH-algebra of class functions on the family $(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q))_{n \geq 1}$. Using this, Gagnon proves [10, Theorem 3.1] that if χ_h denotes the character of the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{U_h}^G \mathbf{1}$, then

$$\chi_h = (q-1)^n \mathbf{p}_{\{1\}}^{-1}(X_{G(h)}(\mathbf{x}; q)).$$

Gagnon then applies this identity to derive a formula for χ_h which can be reproved using Theorem 1.9. We remark that $\tilde{P}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}; q)$ is dual to the modified Hall–Littlewood basis $\tilde{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}; q)$ with respect to the Hall scalar product.

In the rest of this extended abstract, we explain the applications of our results on $F_{\mu\lambda}(q)$ and $F_{\mu h}(q)$. Our first application is in counting the number of points on nilpotent Hessenberg varieties. Varieties of this kind have been studied in [26, 13, 21]. We recall the definition of a nilpotent Hessenberg variety from [21]. In what follows, the conjugate of a Hessenberg function $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ is defined by

$$h' : [n] \rightarrow [n], \quad i \mapsto \#\{j \in [n] : h(j) \geq n+1-i\}.$$

Definition 1.11 (Nilpotent Hessenberg variety). Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ be a Hessenberg function, and let X be a nilpotent $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ denote the set of complete flags in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. The nilpotent Hessenberg variety associated to h and X is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{ess nil}}(h, X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ V_\bullet = (V_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in \mathcal{F}_n : XV_i \subseteq V_{e(i)} \right\},$$

where $e(i) := n - h'(n+1-i)$.

Example 1.12. For the Hessenberg function $h = (2, 3, 5, 5, 5)$ (see Example 1.2), we have $h' = (3, 3, 4, 5, 5)$ and $e = (0, 0, 1, 2, 2)$.

Theorem 1.13. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ be a Hessenberg function and let X be a nilpotent $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$, with Jordan form J_μ . If E_h denotes the number of edges of the graph $G(h)$, then

$$\#\mathcal{H}_{\text{ess nil}}(h, X) = \frac{|Z_G(I + J_\mu)|}{(q-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}} F_{\mu h}(q) = q^{-E_h} \langle \tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; q), X_{G(h)}(\mathbf{x}; q) \rangle.$$

Our second application concerns another elegant idea introduced by Kirillov. Define $a_{nk} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#\{X \in \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : X^2 = 0 \text{ and } \text{rank}(X) = k\}$. In [17], Kirillov discovered the mysterious relation

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{nk} q^{k(k-n)} H_{n-2k}(w \mid 1/q) = (2w)^n, \quad (1.6)$$

where the $H_{n-2k}(w \mid 1/q)$ are the continuous q -Hermite polynomials as known in the Askey–Wilson scheme. The proof of Equation (1.6) in [17] is based on an algebraic

structure which Kirillov calls “fusion rules” on the set of coadjoint orbits of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In [18], Kirillov writes “This algebra is in fact one of the realizations of the Hall algebra, but we shall not discuss this phenomenon here.” In [Theorem 1.14](#) below, we obtain a generalization of Kirillov’s mysterious [Equation \(1.6\)](#), and show that it follows easily from the Cauchy identity for Macdonald polynomials combined with [Theorem 1.8](#). Recall that the continuous q -Hermite polynomials $H_n(w|q)$ are defined recursively by $H_{n+1}(w|q) = 2wH_n(w|q) + (q^n - 1)H_{n-1}(w|q)$ for $n \geq 0$, with the initial conditions $H_0(w|q) := 1$ and $H_n(w|q) := 0$ when $n \leq -1$. One can also show [12, Chapter 13] that

$$H_n(\cos(\theta)|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q e^{i(n-2k)\theta}. \quad (1.7)$$

It is a classical result that the $H_n(w|1/q)$ form a sequence of orthogonal polynomials:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi H_n(\cos(\theta)|1/q) H_m(\cos(\theta)|1/q) (e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; 1/q)_\infty d\theta = \frac{(1/q; 1/q)_n}{(1/q; 1/q)_\infty} \delta_{nm}, \quad (1.8)$$

where δ_{nm} is the Kronecker delta and

$$(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; 1/q)_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(e^{2i\theta}; 1/q \right)_\infty \left(e^{-2i\theta}; 1/q \right)_\infty = \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - q^{-j} e^{2i\theta}) \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - q^{-j} e^{-2i\theta}).$$

From this, one can conclude the following remarkable formula (due to Kirillov)

$$a_{nk} = M(n, k, q) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi (2 \cos(\theta))^n H_{n-2k}(\cos(\theta)|1/q) (e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; 1/q)_\infty d\theta, \quad (1.9)$$

where $M(n, k, q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q^{k(n-k)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2k} (1 - q^{-j})^{-1}$. Below we discuss a generalization of [Equation \(1.6\)](#). To this end, first we introduce some notation. Recall that the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_n(w)$ are defined by $T_n(\cos(\theta)) = \cos(n\theta)$, which are also derived from the recurrence relation $T_{n+1}(w) = 2wT_n(w) - T_{n-1}(w)$ for $n \geq 2$ with $T_0(w) = 1$ and $T_1(w) = w$. For a partition $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots)$, define $T_\rho(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{\rho_1}(w) T_{\rho_2}(w) \cdots$. For any partition $\rho \vdash n$, we set $z_\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} \cdot m_i!$, where $m_i = m_i(\rho)$ is the number of parts of ρ equal to i . Note that z_ρ is the size of the centralizer of a permutation of type ρ in the symmetric group S_n .

For a composition Λ of n , define $a_{nk}(\Lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \# \{ X \in \mathfrak{u}_\Lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) : X^2 = 0, \text{rank}(X) = k \}$. From [Theorem 1.6](#) it follows that $a_{nk}(\Lambda) = a_{nk}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = \text{sort}(\Lambda)$. Our analysis of $a_{nk}(\lambda)$ starts with the observation that a matrix $X \in \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$, with rank k and $X^2 = 0$, must have a Jordan form of type $\mu = (2^k, 1^{n-2k})$ and so $\mu' = (n-k, k)$, where $0 \leq k \leq n/2$. Therefore, thanks to [Theorem 1.8](#), we have

$$a_{nk}(\lambda) = (q-1)^n q^{nk-k^2-n(\lambda')-n} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} [\lambda_i]_q! \right) [\mathbf{x}^\lambda] Q_{\mu'}(\mathbf{x}; 1/q, 0). \quad (1.10)$$

Evidently we have $a_{nk}(\lambda) = 0$ when $k > n/2$. We prove the following assertion.

Theorem 1.14. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ be a partition of n . Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk}(\lambda) q^{k(k-n)} H_{n-2k}(w | 1/q) = [\lambda]_q! (q-1)^n q^{-n(\lambda')} \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_{\rho \vdash \lambda_i} \frac{2^{\ell(\rho)} T_\rho(w)}{z_\rho \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\rho)} (q^{\rho_i} - 1)}.$$

We can use orthogonality to represent $a_{nk}(\lambda)$ as an integral, similar to Equation (1.8). This integral can be evaluated using Jacobi's triple product, but we do not discuss this calculation.

Next, let $f_{\nu\rho}^\lambda(t)$ be the Hall-Littlewood structural constants defined in [20, Sec. III.3, p. 215] satisfying $P_\nu(\mathbf{x}; t) P_\rho(\mathbf{x}; t) = \sum_\lambda f_{\nu\rho}^\lambda(t) P_\lambda(\mathbf{x}; t)$.

Theorem 1.15. Let λ be a partition of n . Then for $0 \leq k \leq n/2$ we have

$$a_{nk}(\lambda) = q^{nk-k^2-n(\lambda')} \bar{a}_{nk}(\lambda),$$

where $\bar{a}_{nk}(\lambda)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j q^{-(j+1)-n-2k} j \begin{bmatrix} n-2k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{n-2k+2j}-1}{q^{n-2k+j}-1} \sum_{\substack{\nu \vdash n-k+j \\ \rho \vdash k-j}} \frac{q^{n(\nu')+n(\rho')} f_{\nu\rho}^\lambda(1)}{[\nu]_q! [\rho]_q!},$$

with the convention that $(q^0 - 1)/(q^0 - 1) = 1$.

Now define $C_n = C_n(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \# \{X \in \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : X^2 = 0\}$.

Corollary 1.16. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$C_n = \sum_{0 \leq k_1+k_2 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} q^{nk_1-k_1^2} \binom{n}{k_1} (-1)^{k_2} q^{\binom{k_2}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-2k_1-k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{[n-2k_1]_q}{[n-2k_1-k_2]_q}.$$

The problem of computing C_n was considered by Kirillov and Melnikov in [19], where they conjectured a different formula for C_n that was later proved by Ekhad and Zeilberger [7]. The Ekhad–Zeilberger proof of the Kirillov–Melnikov formula is very short, but R. Stanley notes in [25, p. 194] that “no conceptual reason is known for such a simple formula”. We give a short proof that Theorem 1.16 implies the Kirillov–Melnikov formula, using a formula for Macdonald polynomials $Q_{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}(\mathbf{x}; q, t)$ due to Jing and Józefiak [14, Eq. (1)].

As a final application of our results, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.17. Let h_1 and h_2 be two Hessenberg functions on $[n]$, and let U_{h_1} and U_{h_2} be the unipotent subgroups of $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ associated with h_1 and h_2 . Then

$$|U_{h_1} \backslash G / U_{h_2}| = q^{|h_1|+|h_2|-2n^2} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} |Z_G(I + J_\mu)| F_{\mu h_1}(q) F_{\mu h_2}(q). \quad (1.11)$$

References

- [1] A. Abreu and A. Nigro. “Chromatic symmetric functions from the modular law”. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **180** (2021), Paper No. 105407, 30. [DOI](#).
- [2] M. Bardestani, K. Mallahi-Karai, S. Ram, and H. Salmasian. “Counting $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of orbital varieties in ad-nilpotent ideals of type A_n ”. 2025. [arXiv:2503.15440](#).
- [3] A. M. Borodin. “The law of large numbers and the central limit theorem for the Jordan normal form of large triangular matrices over a finite field”. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **240**. Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 2 (1997), pp. 18–43, 290. [DOI](#).
- [4] T. Britz and S. Fomin. “Finite posets and Ferrers shapes”. *Adv. Math.* **158.1** (2001), pp. 86–127. [DOI](#).
- [5] P. Cellini and P. Papi. “ad-nilpotent ideals of a Borel subalgebra”. *J. Algebra* **225.1** (2000), pp. 130–141. [DOI](#).
- [6] C. De Concini, G. Lusztig, and C. Procesi. “Homology of the zero-set of a nilpotent vector field on a flag manifold”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1.1** (1988), pp. 15–34. [DOI](#).
- [7] S. B. Ekhad and D. Zeilberger. “The number of solutions of $X^2 = 0$ in triangular matrices over $\text{GF}(q)$ ”. *Electron. J. Combin.* **3.1** (1996), Research Paper 2, approx. 2. [DOI](#).
- [8] M. Fenn and E. Sommers. “A transitivity result for ad-nilpotent ideals in type A ”. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **32.6** (2021), pp. 1175–1189. [DOI](#).
- [9] D. Fuchs and A. Kirillov Sr. “Jordan types of triangular matrices over a finite field”. *Arnold Math. J.* **8.3-4** (2022), pp. 543–559. [DOI](#).
- [10] L. Gagnon. “A unipotent realization of the chromatic quasisymmetric function”. *Algebra Number Theory* **18.9** (2024), pp. 1737–1766. [DOI](#).
- [11] M. Gerstenhaber. “Dominance over the classical groups”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **74** (1961), pp. 532–569. [DOI](#).
- [12] M. E. H. Ismail. *Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable*. Vol. 98. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. With two chapters by Walter Van Assche, With a foreword by Richard A. Askey, Reprint of the 2005 original. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009, pp. xviii+708.
- [13] C. Ji and M. Precup. “Hessenberg varieties associated to ad-nilpotent ideals”. *Comm. Algebra* **50.4** (2022), pp. 1728–1749. [DOI](#).
- [14] N. H. Jing and T. Józefiak. “A formula for two-row Macdonald functions”. *Duke Math. J.* **67.2** (1992), pp. 377–385. [DOI](#).
- [15] A. Joseph. “Orbital varieties of the minimal orbit”. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **31.1** (1998), pp. 17–45. [DOI](#).
- [16] S. N. Karp and H. Thomas. “ q -Whittaker functions, finite fields, and Jordan forms”. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **31.4** (2025), Paper No. 77, 81. [DOI](#).

- [17] A. A. Kirillov. “On the number of solutions of the equation $X^2 = 0$ in triangular matrices over a finite field”. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **29.1** (1995), pp. 82–87. [DOI](#).
- [18] A. A. Kirillov. “Variations on the triangular theme”. *Lie groups and Lie algebras: E. B. Dynkin’s Seminar*. Vol. 169. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 43–73. [DOI](#).
- [19] A. A. Kirillov and A. Melnikov. “On a remarkable sequence of polynomials”. *Algèbre non commutative, groupes quantiques et invariants (Reims, 1995)*. Vol. 2. Sémin. Congr. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1997, pp. 35–42.
- [20] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Second. Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences. With contribution by A. V. Zelevinsky and a foreword by Richard Stanley, Reprint of the 2008 paperback edition. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2015, pp. xii+475.
- [21] M. Precup and E. Sommers. “Perverse sheaves, nilpotent Hessenberg varieties, and the modular law”. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **21.1** (2025), pp. 495–540. [DOI](#).
- [22] S. Ram and M. J. Schlosser. “Diagonal operators, q -Whittaker functions and rook theory”. 2023. [arXiv:2309.06401](#).
- [23] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs. “Chromatic quasisymmetric functions”. *Adv. Math.* **295** (2016), pp. 497–551. [DOI](#).
- [24] R. P. Stanley. “A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph”. *Adv. Math.* **111.1** (1995), pp. 166–194. [DOI](#).
- [25] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 1*. Second. Vol. 49. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012, pp. xiv+626.
- [26] J. S. Tymoczko. “Hessenberg varieties are not pure dimensional”. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **2.3**, Special Issue: In honor of Robert D. MacPherson. Part 1 (2006), pp. 779–794. [DOI](#).
- [27] A. V. Zelevinsky. *Representations of finite classical groups*. Vol. 869. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. A Hopf algebra approach. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981, pp. iv+184.