

Diagonal supersymmetry for coinvariant rings

John Lentfer^{*1}

¹*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA*

Abstract. For finite groups G , we show that bosonic-fermionic coinvariant rings have a natural $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$ -module structure. In particular, we show that their character series are a sum of super Schur functions $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$ times irreducible characters of G with universal coefficients, which do not depend on k, j . In the case where G is the symmetric group with diagonal action, this proves the “Diagonal Supersymmetry” conjecture of Bergeron (2020).

Keywords: coinvariant rings, super Schur functions, diagonal supersymmetry

1 Introduction

A foundational object in algebraic combinatorics is the coinvariant ring

$$R_n^{(1,0)} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{+}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rangle, \quad (1.1)$$

the quotient of a polynomial ring by symmetric functions without constant term. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ permutes the variables and gives $R_n^{(1,0)}$ a graded $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module structure, where the grading is by total degree. Borel [13] showed that $R_n^{(1,0)}$ is the cohomology ring of the flag variety, it affords the regular representation of the symmetric group, and Stanley [41] gave a formula for the graded structure of $R_n^{(1,0)}$ in terms of statistics on standard Young tableaux.

The diagonal coinvariant ring

$$R_n^{(2,0)} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] / \langle \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]_{+}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rangle \quad (1.2)$$

was introduced by Haiman in 1994 [25]. The defining ideal $\langle \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]_{+}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \rangle$ is generated by all polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ without constant term, which are invariant under the diagonal action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Haiman conjectured [25] and then later proved [26] formulas for the dimension, bigraded Hilbert series, and Frobenius series of $R_n^{(2,0)}$ using novel results on the Hilbert scheme of points in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

^{*}jlentfer@berkeley.edu. The author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship DGE-2146752. This is an extended abstract of [31].

The diagonal coinvariant ring $R_n^{(2,0)}$ has been involved in several breakthroughs in algebraic combinatorics, including the proofs of the longstanding Shuffle Conjecture [23, 36, 11] and the Loehr–Warrington Conjecture [34, 9]. It is connected to the elliptic Hall algebra [15, 12, 20] and to link homology [21, 22, 44].

There has been previous work on coinvariant rings with three or more sets of commuting variables [6, 3], however a proof of just the dimension for the case with three sets of variables has been elusive [8].

The study of the coinvariant ring with one set of commuting variables and one set of anticommuting variables $R_n^{(1,1)}$ was initiated by N. Bergeron, Colmenarejo, Li, Machacek, Sulzgruber, and Zabrocki in a working seminar in algebraic combinatorics at the Fields Institute in 2018. Eventually, the multiplicity of the sign character of $R_n^{(1,1)}$ [43], its dimension and Hilbert series [39], a monomial basis [40, 2] and its Frobenius series [37] were all determined. Zabrocki introduced a coinvariant ring with two sets of commuting variables and one set of anticommuting variables $R_n^{(2,1)}$ [45, 5], which is conjecturally related to a symmetric function appearing in the Delta theorem (conjectured by Haglund, Remmel, and Wilson [24] and proven by [19] and also [10]). Then D’Adderio, Iraci, and Vanden Wyngaerd [18] considered a coinvariant ring with two sets of commuting variables and two sets of anticommuting variables, related to their work on Theta operators.

The coinvariant ring with two sets of anticommuting variables $R_n^{(0,2)}$ was studied by Kim and Rhoades, where they determined its Frobenius series, Hilbert series, dimension, and the multiplicity of the sign character [29]. There has been some additional conjectural work done on the coinvariant ring with one set of commuting variables and two sets of anticommuting variables $R_n^{(1,2)}$ in [30, 28].

All of these are instances of coinvariant rings with k sets of n commuting (bosonic, even) variables $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$, where $x^{(i)} = \{x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}\}$, and j sets of n anticommuting (fermionic, odd) variables $\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, \dots, \theta^{(j)}$, where $\theta^{(i)} = \{\theta_1^{(i)}, \dots, \theta_n^{(i)}\}$, for k, j nonnegative integers or infinity.

We first describe the construction of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(j)}]$. Let $G \subset GL(V) = GL(n)$ be a finite group acting on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\mathbb{C}^{k|j}$ denote the complex superspace of even dimension k and odd dimension j . Then $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V) = (\text{Sym}(V))^{\otimes k} \otimes (\wedge(V))^{\otimes j}$, and upon choosing a basis of V , we number the coordinates so that they correspond to the tensor factors. That is, $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$ correspond to coordinates on k copies of the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}(V)$ and $\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(j)}$ correspond to coordinates on j copies of the exterior algebra $\wedge(V)$. Thus we write $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V) = \mathbb{C}[x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(j)}]$, where commuting variables commute with all variables; anticommuting variables anticommute with all anticommuting variables. That is, $\theta_i^{(\ell)} \theta_h^{(m)} = -\theta_h^{(m)} \theta_i^{(\ell)}$, which implies that $(\theta_i^{(\ell)})^2 = 0$.

We now define the (k, j) -bosonic-fermionic coinvariant ring for a finite group $G \subset GL(n)$

by

$$R_G^{(k,j)} = \mathbb{C}[x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(j)}] / \langle \mathbb{C}[x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(j)}]_+^G \rangle, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathbb{C}[x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(j)}]_+^G$ denotes the polynomials without constant term, which are invariant under the diagonal action of G on each set of variables. In the case where G is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acting diagonally by permuting the indices of the variables within each set, we denote this by $R_n^{(k,j)}$. Coinvariant rings have been studied in this more general setting (see [3, 7, 4, 46]).

A good understanding of $R_n^{(k,j)}$ remains elusive, especially once $k + j \geq 3$. In fact, the only character beyond the trivial character known for any choice of k, j subject to $k + j \geq 3$ is the sign character of $R_n^{(0,3)}$ [32]. Presently, this extended abstract of [31] provides one of the first structural results for arbitrary k, j . The extended abstract is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the main results, which are:

- a $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$ -module structure for $R_G^{(k,j)}$ (Theorem 2.1);
- a multigraded character series for $R_G^{(k,j)}$ using super Schur functions (Theorem 2.2);
- in the case of the symmetric group, a proof of the “diagonal supersymmetry” conjecture of François Bergeron (Corollary 2.3);
- and a construction of the universal series (Proposition 2.5).

Definitions and additional background are presented in Section 3. Two applications are previewed: in Section 4, we discuss a combinatorial consequence of cancellation on the Hilbert series of $R_n^{(k,j)}$, while in Section 5 we summarize the results of [33], which are completely explicit in the case of the dihedral groups. Complete proofs, additional discussion and further applications can be found in the full paper [31].

2 Main Results

In this extended abstract, we propose that a natural setting for studying $R_G^{(k,j)}$ is as a $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$ -module, where $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j))$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$. Recall that a G -representation $G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is equivalent to a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module [14, §21.1]. In general, given any Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module is equivalent to a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ [16, Chapter 1.5.1].

We describe the relevant actions on $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$. First, $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^{k|j}$ as the defining representation. Since $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ acts trivially on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V$. As $\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V$ is the degree 1 (in total degree) part of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$, then the action of $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ extends to the whole ring $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$ by left superderivations. Next, since $G \subset GL(V)$, then G acts in a natural way on V , in addition to trivially on $\mathbb{C}^{k|j}$. Combining

these, G acts on $\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V$, which extends to $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$. The actions of $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ and G commute with each other (cf. [17, Section 5.2.1]).

With this action in hand, we then show that $R_G^{(k,j)}$ is a quotient module of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$. Since $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ is not semisimple, we are not a priori guaranteed that $R_G^{(k,j)}$ will decompose into simple $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j))$ -modules. Our first main result is that the desired $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$ -module structure exists for $R_G^{(k,j)}$, and we describe how it decomposes into simple modules. The key ingredient in the proof is super Howe duality (Theorem 3.1). Since $R_G^{(k,j)}$ is a quotient module of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$, the irreducible representations of $R_G^{(k,j)}$ are the same as those of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$, just with possibly reduced multiplicity.

Denote the set of partitions λ with length $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ which satisfy $\lambda_{k+1} \leq j$ by $P(k, j, n)$. Let μ index the irreducible characters of G . Let $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$ denote a super Schur function (see Section 3 for definitions), where here and throughout, $\mathbf{q}$ denotes $q_1, \dots, q_k$, and $\mathbf{u}$ denotes $u_1, \dots, u_j$.

Theorem 2.1. *Fix a positive integer n and a finite group $G \subset \text{GL}(n)$. There is an isomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules:*

$$R_G^{(k,j)} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(k,j,n)} \bigoplus_{\mu} \left(U_{k|j}^\lambda \otimes N^\mu \right)^{\oplus c_{\lambda\mu}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the $U_{k|j}^\lambda$ are simple $U(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j))$ -modules with character $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$ and the N^μ are simple $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules, for some nonnegative integer coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}$.

Let $\text{IrrChar}(G)$ denote the set of irreducible characters of G . From the module structure in Theorem 2.1, taking $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ and G -characters yields a character series in terms of super Schur functions and G -characters. A priori, for fixed $G \subset \text{GL}(V)$, these coefficients are functions of k, j . However, in our second main result we show that they are independent of k, j .

Theorem 2.2. *Fix a positive integer n and a finite group $G \subset \text{GL}(n)$. For partitions λ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$, and indices μ of irreducible G -characters, there exist nonnegative integer coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}$ such that for any k, j , the multigraded character series of $R_G^{(k,j)}$ is*

$$\text{Char}(R_G^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\lambda \in P(k,j,n)} \sum_{\chi^\mu \in \text{IrrChar}(G)} c_{\lambda\mu} s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) \chi^\mu. \quad (2.2)$$

When G is the symmetric group acting by permuting variables, we may apply the Frobenius characteristic map to the symmetric group characters, which are indexed by partitions of n . Let $\mathbf{z}$ be an (infinite) auxiliary set of variables for the Frobenius characters. Then the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2. Thus we prove a conjecture of Bergeron.

Corollary 2.3 (Diagonal Supersymmetry [7, Conjecture 1]). *Fix a positive integer n . For partitions λ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$, and $\mu \vdash n$, there exist nonnegative integer coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}$ such that for any (k, j) , the multigraded Frobenius series of $R_n^{(k,j)}$ is*

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\lambda \in P(k,j,n)} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda\mu} s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) s_\mu(\mathbf{z}). \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.4. Given fixed n, k, j , it is important to note that (2.3) does not determine $c_{\lambda\mu}$ for all partitions λ of length at most n , but only for those which satisfy $\lambda_{k+1} \leq j$.

Previously, Bergeron showed [7, equation (2.1)] that $R_n^{(k,j)}$ is a $\text{GL}(k) \times \text{GL}(j) \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ -module, without taking advantage of the relationship between the even and odd parts, which in the present setting comes from the Lie superalgebra structure. The irreducible characters of polynomial representations of $\text{GL}(k)$ are Schur functions s_λ where $\ell(\lambda) \leq k$ (see for example [35, I.A.8]). Taking $\text{GL}(k) \times \text{GL}(j)$ characters and Frobenius characters, one obtains a series which is a product of Schur functions in three sets of variables. That is, for partitions λ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$, and $\mu \vdash n$, there exist nonnegative integers $c_{\lambda\nu\mu}$ such that for any k, j

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda, \nu, \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq k, \ell(\nu) \leq j}} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda\nu\mu} s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}) s_\nu(\mathbf{u}) s_\mu(\mathbf{z}). \quad (2.4)$$

Since a super Schur function $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$ can always be written as a sum of products of Schur functions $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}) s_\nu(\mathbf{u})$, but the converse does not hold, equation (2.3) implies equation (2.4), but equation (2.4) does not imply equation (2.3).

Bergeron showed [7] that there is a well-defined universal series

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(\infty, \infty)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\lambda, \nu} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda\nu\mu} s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}) s_\nu(\mathbf{u}) s_\mu(\mathbf{z}), \quad (2.5)$$

which determines all $c_{\lambda\nu\mu}$ which could appear in the expansion in equation (2.4) for any k, j . In equation (2.5), $(k, j) = (\infty, \infty)$ means $\mathbf{q} = q_1, q_2, \dots$ and $\mathbf{u} = u_1, u_2, \dots$ are infinite formal alphabets. We strengthen equation (2.5) by showing that there is a well-defined universal series in terms of super Schur functions.

Proposition 2.5. *Fix a positive integer n . There is a well-defined universal series*

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(\infty, \infty)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\lambda \in P(\infty, \infty, n)} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda\mu} s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) s_\mu(\mathbf{z}) \quad (2.6)$$

which determines all $c_{\lambda\mu}$ which could appear in the expansion in equation (2.3) for any (k, j) .

In general, $c_{\lambda\mu}$ may be zero or nonzero. For some finite k or j in the expansion of $\text{Frob}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u})$ (equation (2.3)), not all nonzero $c_{\lambda\mu}$ from the universal series (equation (2.6)) need to appear, since they are multiplied by $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$, which equals 0 when k or j is too small.

Example 2.6. Let $n = 3$. Then one may compute the universal series

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Frob}(R_3^{(\infty,\infty)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) &= \left(s_{(3)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) + s_{(1,1)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) \right) s_{(1,1,1)}(\mathbf{z}) \\ &\quad + \left(s_{(2)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) + s_{(1)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) \right) s_{(2,1)}(\mathbf{z}) + s_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) s_{(3)}(\mathbf{z}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

An equivalent way to write this using the universal series coefficients is that $c_{(3),(1,1,1)}$, $c_{(1,1),(1,1,1)}$, $c_{(2),(2,1)}$, $c_{(1),(2,1)}$, $c_{\emptyset,(3)}$ are all 1 and $c_{\lambda\mu} = 0$ for all other λ of length at most 3 and for all $\mu \vdash 3$.

Consider if $(k, j) = (1, 0)$. Then the universal series simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Frob}(R_3^{(1,0)}; q) &= s_{(3)}(q) s_{(1,1,1)}(\mathbf{z}) \\ &\quad + \left(s_{(2)}(q) + s_{(1)}(q) \right) s_{(2,1)}(\mathbf{z}) + s_{\emptyset}(q) s_{(3)}(\mathbf{z}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

since $s_{(1,1)}(q) = 0$. This means that, while $c_{(1,1),(1,1,1)} = 1$ regardless of k, j , we would not be able to determine that from the Frobenius series of $R_3^{(1,0)}$ alone.

We desire some criteria for when computing a Frobenius series of $R_n^{(k,j)}$ for finite k, j allows us to conclude that we have computed enough $c_{\lambda\mu}$ to completely determine the universal series. Here we present one such criteria: once k, j have been fixed, in the special case that $n \leq k + j$, then the purely bosonic case or the purely fermionic case completely determines the mixed bosonic-fermionic case. Then we can apply the fact that the Frobenius series of $R_n^{(k,0)}$ stabilizes at $k = n - 1$ [6].

Corollary 2.7. *For fixed $n \leq k + j$, the multigraded Frobenius series of $R_n^{(k,j)}$ may be calculated from the multigraded Frobenius series of $R_n^{(k+j,0)}$ or $R_n^{(0,k+j)}$. In particular, the coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}$ in equation (2.3) are determined by*

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(k+j,0)}; q_1, \dots, q_{k+j}) = \sum_{\lambda \in P(k+j,0,n)} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda\mu} s_\lambda(q_1, \dots, q_{k+j}) s_\mu(\mathbf{z}), \quad (2.9)$$

or by

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(0,k+j)}; u_1, \dots, u_{k+j}) = \sum_{\lambda \in P(0,k+j,n)} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} c_{\lambda\mu} s_{\lambda'}(u_1, \dots, u_{k+j}) s_\mu(\mathbf{z}). \quad (2.10)$$

For more analysis of what information the multigraded Frobenius series of $R_n^{(k,j)}$ tells us about the multigraded Frobenius series of $R_n^{(k',j')}$ for some other choice of k' and j' , see the full paper [31].

3 Background and definitions

We assume some familiarity with symmetric functions (see [42, Chapter 7] or [35, Chapter I]), and representation theory of finite groups and Lie algebras (see [14]). All tensor products are taken over $\mathbb{C}$. If a ring R decomposes as a direct sum of multihomogenous $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules, for G a finite group:

$$R = \bigoplus_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j \geq 0} (R)_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j}, \quad (3.1)$$

then its *multigraded character series* is

$$\text{Char}(R; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) := \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j \geq 0} \text{char} \left((R)_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j} \right) q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_k^{r_k} u_1^{s_1} \cdots u_j^{s_j}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\text{char}(S)$ denotes the character of a G -module S .

Recall that the Frobenius characteristic map F is an isomorphism between the ring of virtual $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -characters and the ring of symmetric functions $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$, given by $F(\chi^\mu) = s_\mu(\mathbf{z})$, where χ^μ is the irreducible $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -character indexed by μ , and $s_\mu(\mathbf{z})$ is a Schur function (see [42, Chapter 7.18]). In the special case where G is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$, such as when $R = R_n^{(k,j)}$, we can apply the Frobenius characteristic map F to the character of each multigraded component, and obtain the *multigraded Frobenius series*:

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) := \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j \geq 0} F \text{char} \left((R_n^{(k,j)})_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j} \right) q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_k^{r_k} u_1^{s_1} \cdots u_j^{s_j}. \quad (3.3)$$

We may use q for q_1 and u for u_1 when $k, j \leq 1$.

Define a *super Schur function* (see [17, Appendix A.2.2]) by

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} s_\nu(\mathbf{q}) s_{\lambda'/\nu'}(\mathbf{u}). \quad (3.4)$$

Super Schur functions can also be defined in terms of super tableaux (see [38, Chapter 12.3]). While we will not otherwise use it, we note that in [7] the plethystic notation $s_\lambda[\mathbf{q} - \varepsilon \mathbf{u}]$ is used. Since (see for example [7, Section 2])

$$s_\lambda[\mathbf{q} - \varepsilon \mathbf{u}] = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} s_\nu(\mathbf{q}) s_{\lambda'/\nu'}(\mathbf{u}), \quad (3.5)$$

then $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) = s_\lambda[\mathbf{q} - \varepsilon \mathbf{u}]$. We will exclusively use $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$.

Super Schur functions satisfy the following key properties [35, I.3 Example 23] of cancellation:

$$s_\lambda(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}, q_k/u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_j) \big|_{u_j = -q_k} = s_\lambda(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}/u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}), \quad (3.6)$$

and restriction:

$$s_\lambda(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}, q_k/u_1, \dots, u_j)|_{q_k=0} = s_\lambda(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}/u_1, \dots, u_j), \quad (3.7)$$

$$s_\lambda(q_1, \dots, q_k/u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_j)|_{u_j=0} = s_\lambda(q_1, \dots, q_k/u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}). \quad (3.8)$$

The super Schur functions $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$ are characters of the simple $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ -modules $U_{k|j}^\lambda$ which appear in the decomposition of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V)$ via Howe duality (see [27, 17]).

Theorem 3.1 ($(\mathfrak{gl}(k|j), \text{GL}(n))$ -Howe Duality). *For all $d \geq 0$, we have that*

$$\text{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^{k|j} \otimes V) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in P(k,j,n) \\ \lambda \vdash d}} U_{k|j}^\lambda \otimes U_n^\lambda, \quad (3.9)$$

where for each $\lambda \in P(k, j, n)$, $U_{k|j}^\lambda$ is a simple $\mathfrak{gl}(k|j)$ -module with character the super Schur function $s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})$, and U_n^λ is a simple $\text{GL}(n)$ -module with character the Schur function s_λ .

4 Cancellation and the Hilbert series for the symmetric group

The multigraded Hilbert series of $R_n^{(k,j)}$ is defined by

$$\text{Hilb}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) := \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j \geq 0} \dim \left((R_n^{(k,j)})_{r_1, \dots, r_k, s_1, \dots, s_j} \right) q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_k^{r_k} u_1^{s_1} \cdots u_j^{s_j}. \quad (4.1)$$

Recall that we can recover the Hilbert series from the Frobenius series via:

$$\langle \text{Frob}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}), h_{(1^n)}(\mathbf{z}) \rangle = \text{Hilb}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}). \quad (4.2)$$

We give a Hilbert series version of Corollary 2.3.

Proposition 4.1. *Fix a positive integer n . For partitions λ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$, there exist nonnegative integer coefficients c_λ such that for any k, j , the multigraded Hilbert series of $R_n^{(k,j)}$ is*

$$\text{Hilb}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\lambda \in P(k,j,n)} c_\lambda s_\lambda(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}). \quad (4.3)$$

Now we show a general result on Frobenius and Hilbert series involving certain evaluations.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $n \geq 1$ and for any $0 \leq m \leq \min(k, j)$, we have*

$$\text{Frob}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u})|_{q_k=-u_j, \dots, q_{k-m+1}=-u_{j-m+1}} = \text{Frob}(R_n^{(k-m, j-m)}; q_1, \dots, q_{k-m}, u_1, \dots, u_{j-m}),$$

$$\text{Hilb}(R_n^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u})|_{q_k=-u_j, \dots, q_{k-m+1}=-u_{j-m+1}} = \text{Hilb}(R_n^{(k-m, j-m)}; q_1, \dots, q_{k-m}, u_1, \dots, u_{j-m}).$$

Sagan–Swanson conjectured [40] and Rhoades–Wilson proved [39] that

$$\text{Hilb}(R_n^{(1,1)}; q; u) = \sum_{d=0}^n [d]_q! \text{Stir}_q(n, d) u^{n-d}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $[d]_q!$ is a q -analogue of the factorial $d!$ and $\text{Stir}_q(n, d)$ is a q -analogue of the Stirling number of the second kind $\text{Stir}(n, d)$.

As an application of Proposition 4.1, we give a short proof of the following result, originally proven by Sagan–Swanson with a sign-reversing involution.

Corollary 4.3 ([40, Theorem 5.5]). *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{d=0}^n [d]_q! \text{Stir}_q(n, d) (-q)^{n-d} = 1. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, $\text{Hilb}(R_n^{(1,1)}; q; u)|_{q=-u} = \text{Hilb}(R_n^{(0,0)}) = 1$. The result follows by equation (4.4). $\square$

5 Dihedral groups

For groups with non-constant rank, such as the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$, it is quite difficult to determine the coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}$ in general. In the case of dihedral groups $\mathfrak{J}_2(n)$, since the rank of these groups is fixed at 2, their associated coinvariant algebras are easier to describe. As an application of Theorem 2.2, along with a theorem of Alfano [1], we can derive an explicit multigraded character series for $R_{\mathfrak{J}_2(n)}^{(k,j)}$.

Theorem 5.1 ([33]). *Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_k)$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_j)$. The $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{u}$ -graded character series for $R_{\mathfrak{J}_2(n)}^{(k,j)}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char}(R_{\mathfrak{J}_2(n)}^{(k,j)}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{u}) &= \chi_1 + s_{(1,1)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})\chi_2 + s_{(n)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})\chi_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (s_{(i)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}) + s_{(n-i)}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u}))\chi^i \\ &\quad + \begin{cases} s_{(\frac{n}{2})}(\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{u})(\chi_3 + \chi_4) & \text{if } n \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Here $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4$ are irreducible 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{J}_2(n)$ -characters and the χ^i are irreducible 2-dimensional $\mathfrak{J}_2(n)$ -characters.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, we can obtain an explicit multigraded Hilbert series, the dimension, and a monomial basis for $R_{\mathfrak{J}_2(n)}^{(k,j)}$ using straightening relations [33].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank François Bergeron, Sylvie Corteel, Nicolle González, Mark Haiman, Eric Jankowski, Pablo Ocal, Brendon Rhoades, Chris Ryba, Vera Serganova, and Josh Swanson for helpful conversations or feedback. Thank you also to the referees for their feedback. The author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship DGE-2146752.

References

- [1] J. A. Alfano. “The module of diagonal harmonic polynomials”. PhD thesis. University of California, San Diego, 1994.
- [2] R. Angarone, P. Commins, T. Karn, S. Murai, and B. Rhoades. “Superspace coinvariants and hyperplane arrangements”. *Adv. Math.* **467** (2025), Paper No. 110185, 36 pp. [DOI](#).
- [3] F. Bergeron. “ $(GL_k \times S_n)$ -modules of multivariate diagonal harmonics”. *Open problems in algebraic combinatorics*. Vol. 110. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2024] ©2024, pp. 1–22. [DOI](#).
- [4] F. Bergeron, J. Haglund, A. Iraci, and M. Romero. “Bosonic-fermionic diagonal coinvariants and Theta operators”. *preprint* (2023), <https://www2.math.upenn.edu/~jhaglund/preprints/BF2.pdf>.
- [5] F. Bergeron, J. Haglund, and M. Zabrocki. “Fianl report from BIRS Workshop 19w5131: Representation Theory Connections to (q, t) -Combinatorics”. <https://www.birs.ca/workshops/2019/19w5131/report19w5131.pdf>. 2019.
- [6] F. Bergeron. “Multivariate diagonal coinvariant spaces for complex reflection groups”. *Adv. Math.* **239** (2013), pp. 97–108. [DOI](#).
- [7] F. Bergeron. “The Bosonic-Fermionic Diagonal Coinvariant Modules Conjecture”. *preprint* (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.00924>.
- [8] F. Bergeron and L.-F. Prévaille-Ratelle. “Higher trivariate diagonal harmonics via generalized Tamari posets”. *J. Comb.* **3.3** (2012), pp. 317–341. [DOI](#).
- [9] J. Blasiak, M. Haiman, J. Morse, A. Pun, and G. H. Seelinger. “Dens, nests and the Loehr-Warrington conjecture”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **38.4** (2025), pp. 1049–1106. [DOI](#).
- [10] J. Blasiak, M. Haiman, J. Morse, A. Pun, and G. H. Seelinger. “A proof of the extended delta conjecture”. *Forum Math. Pi* **11** (2023), Paper No. e6, 28. [DOI](#).
- [11] J. Blasiak, M. Haiman, J. Morse, A. Pun, and G. H. Seelinger. “A shuffle theorem for paths under any line”. *Forum Math. Pi* **11** (2023), Paper No. e5, 38. [DOI](#).
- [12] J. Blasiak, M. Haiman, J. Morse, A. Pun, and G. H. Seelinger. “LLT polynomials in the Schiffmann algebra”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **811** (2024), pp. 93–133. [DOI](#).

- [13] A. Borel. “Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts”. *Ann. Math. (2)* **57** (1953), pp. 115–207. [DOI](#).
- [14] N. Bourbaki. *Elements of mathematics. Algebra. Chapter 8. Translated from the 2nd French edition by Reinie Ern  *. Cham: Springer, 2023. [DOI](#).
- [15] I. Burban and O. Schiffmann. “On the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve. I.” *Duke Math. J.* **161.7** (2012), pp. 1171–1231. [DOI](#).
- [16] S.-J. Cheng and W. Wang. “Howe duality for Lie superalgebras”. *Compos. Math.* **128.1** (2001), pp. 55–94. [DOI](#).
- [17] S.-J. Cheng and W. Wang. *Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras*. Vol. 144. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012, pp. xviii+302. [DOI](#).
- [18] M. D’Adderio, A. Iraci, and A. Vanden Wyngaerd. “Theta operators, refined Delta conjectures, and coinvariants”. *Adv. Math.* **376** (2021). Id/No 107447, p. 60. [DOI](#).
- [19] M. D’Adderio and A. Mellit. “A proof of the compositional delta conjecture”. *Adv. Math.* **402** (2022), Paper No. 108342, 17 pp. [DOI](#).
- [20] N. Gonzalez, E. Gorsky, and J. Simental. “The elliptic Hall algebra and the double Dyck path algebra”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.16113>. 2025. [arXiv:2502.16113](#).
- [21] E. Gorsky and A. Negu  . “Refined knot invariants and Hilbert schemes”. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **104.3** (2015), pp. 403–435. [DOI](#).
- [22] E. Gorsky, A. Oblomkov, J. Rasmussen, and V. Shende. “Torus knots and the rational DAHA”. *Duke Math. J.* **163.14** (2014), pp. 2709–2794. [DOI](#).
- [23] J. Haglund, M. Haiman, N. Loehr, J. B. Remmel, and A. Ulyanov. “A combinatorial formula for the character of the diagonal coinvariants”. *Duke Math. J.* **126.2** (2005), pp. 195–232. [DOI](#).
- [24] J. Haglund, J. B. Remmel, and A. T. Wilson. “The delta conjecture”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **370.6** (2018), pp. 4029–4057. [DOI](#).
- [25] M. Haiman. “Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants”. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **3.1** (1994), pp. 17–76. [DOI](#).
- [26] M. Haiman. “Vanishing theorems and character formulas for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane”. *Invent. Math.* **149.2** (2002), pp. 371–407. [DOI](#).
- [27] R. Howe. “Remarks on classical invariant theory”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **313.2** (1989), pp. 539–570. [DOI](#).
- [28] A. Iraci, P. Nadeau, and A. Vanden Wyngaerd. “Smirnov words and the delta conjectures”. *Adv. Math.* **452** (2024), Paper No. 109793, 41 pp. [DOI](#).
- [29] J. Kim and B. Rhoades. “Lefschetz theory for exterior algebras and fermionic diagonal coinvariants”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2022.4** (2022), pp. 2906–2933. [DOI](#).
- [30] J. Lentfer. “A conjectural basis for the $(1,2)$ -bosonic-fermionic coinvariant ring”. *Algebr. Comb.* **8.3** (2025), pp. 711–743.

- [31] J. Lentfer. “Diagonal supersymmetry for coinvariant rings”. *preprint* (2025), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.14885>. arXiv:2505.14885.
- [32] J. Lentfer. “The sign character of the triangular fermionic coinvariant ring”. *preprint* (2025), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.09920>. arXiv:2501.09920.
- [33] J. Lentfer. “Universal series for dihedral group coinvariant rings”. *preprint* (2025), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.15054>. arXiv:2509.15054.
- [34] N. A. Loehr and G. S. Warrington. “Nested quantum Dyck paths and $\nabla(s_\lambda)$ ”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2008** (2008). Id/No rnm157, p. 29. DOI.
- [35] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [36] A. Mellit. “Toric braids and (m, n) -parking functions”. *Duke Math. J.* **170**.18 (2021), pp. 4123–4169. DOI.
- [37] S. Murai, B. Rhoades, and A. Wilson. “A proof of the Fields Conjectures”. *preprint* (2025), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.24027>. arXiv:2505.24027.
- [38] I. M. Musson. *Lie superalgebras and enveloping algebras*. Vol. 131. Grad. Stud. Math. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2012.
- [39] B. Rhoades and A. T. Wilson. “The Hilbert series of the superspace coinvariant ring”. *Forum Math. Pi* **12** (2024). Id/No e16, p. 35. DOI.
- [40] B. E. Sagan and J. P. Swanson. “ q -Stirling numbers in type B ”. *Eur. J. Comb.* **118** (2024). Id/No 103899, p. 35. DOI.
- [41] R. P. Stanley. “Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics”. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser.* **1** (1979), pp. 475–511. DOI.
- [42] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 2*. Vol. 62. Camb. Stud. Adv. Math. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [43] J. P. Swanson and N. R. Wallach. “Harmonic differential forms for pseudo-reflection groups I. Semi-invariants”. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **182** (2021), Paper No. 105474, 30. DOI.
- [44] A. T. Wilson. “Torus link homology and the nabla operator”. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **154** (2018), pp. 129–144. DOI.
- [45] M. Zabrocki. “A module for the Delta conjecture”. *preprint* (2019), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.08966>.
- [46] M. Zabrocki. “Coinvariants and harmonics”. <https://realopacblog.wordpress.com/2020/01/26/coinvariants-and-harmonics/>. 2020.