

Solutions

6.4. First, check \bar{f} is well-defined.
i.e. if $m+k = m'+k$, then $f(m) = f(m')$
But this is true since $k \subset \ker f$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } \bar{f}(a\bar{m} + b\bar{n}) &= \bar{f}(\overline{am + bn}) = f(am + bn) \\ &= af(m) + bf(n) \\ &= a\bar{f}(\bar{m}) + b\bar{f}(\bar{n})\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in R$, $\bar{m} = m+k$, $\bar{n} = n+k$, $m, n \in M$.

Hence \bar{f} is an R -map.

6.5 (i) Let $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$ be the canonical projection.
Then

M is an R/I -module

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ ring hom. } f: R/I \rightarrow \text{End}_Z(M)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ ring hom } \bar{f}: R \rightarrow \text{End}_Z(M)$$

s.t. $\bar{f}(I) = 0$ and thus \bar{f} factors through R/I .

$$\text{(i.e. } \bar{f} = f \circ \pi)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow M \text{ is an } R\text{-module and } IM = 0.$$

(ii) M/JM is an R -module since

JM is a submodule of M .

Obviously, M/JM , as an R -module, is killed by J . ($J \cdot (M/JM) = JM/JM = 0$)

Now by (i), M/JM is an R/J module

with the desired action:

The other statements follow readily.

(iii) Lemma; M, N are two modules with submodules M', N' , respectively.

$$\text{Then } \frac{M \oplus N}{M' \oplus N'} \cong (M/M') \oplus (N/N')$$

Now denote $\langle b_i \rangle$ by R_i .
Then $F/MF = \frac{\bigoplus R_i}{M(\bigoplus R_i)} = \frac{\bigoplus R_i}{\bigoplus (M \cdot R_i)}$

Lemma $\bigoplus \left(\frac{R_i}{M \cdot R_i} \right)$

Each $\frac{R_i}{M \cdot R_i} \cong R/M$ is a one-dim'l space
over R/M ~~with~~ spanned by $b_i + MF$

Hence $(b_i + MF)$ form a basis for F/MF
(You can also show this directly)

Now

of summands of F as a free R -module

$$= \dim_{R/M} (F/MF). \quad (*)$$

Since the RHS of (*) is irrelevant ~~to~~ to the
choice of the free generators of F ,
we set the desired statement.

6.20. For any $x \in R$

$$(x+x)^2 = x+x, \quad \Rightarrow \quad 4x^2 = 2x \quad \Rightarrow \quad 4x = 2x$$

$$\Rightarrow 2x = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = -x$$

NOW $x+y = (x+y)^2 = x + xy + yx + y.$

$$\Rightarrow xy + yx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xy = -yx = yx$$

6.21.

(i) Most proof is just standard verification.

I will not list them.

Some people didn't show $\mathcal{F}(G, k)$ is closed under the convolution.

For any $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(G, k)$, let $\text{Supp } \psi = \{s \in G; \psi(s) \neq 0\}$.

For any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}(G, k)$

$$\varphi\psi(s) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists x \in G, \varphi(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \psi(x^{-1}s) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow s = x(x^{-1}s) \in (\text{Supp } \varphi) \cdot (\text{Supp } \psi).$$

Hence $\text{Supp } (\varphi\psi) \subset (\text{Supp } \varphi) \cdot (\text{Supp } \psi)$, which is finite.

Also, the identity of this ring is ϕ_e , which is

$$\phi_e(s) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s=e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Define ϕ_g for any $g \in G$ as

$$\phi_g(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h=g \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Claim: $\mathcal{F}(G, k)$ is a free k -module with basis $\{\phi_s : s \in G\}$

(For any $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(G, k)$.

$\psi = \sum_g \psi(g) \phi_g$ so $\{\phi_s : s \in G\}$ generate

$\mathcal{F}(G, k)$. Also by definition, it is easy to check $\{\phi_s : s \in G\}$ is a set of free generators.)

By definition Φ sends g to ϕ_g and extends k -linearly to kG , that is to say for any $\sum a_s s \in kG$,

$$\Phi\left(\sum a_s s\right) = \sum a_s \Phi(s) = \sum a_s \phi_s \quad (*)$$

(*) shows that Φ is an isomorphism as k -module. (It sends a free k -basis to a free k -basis)

We still have to show Φ preserves the identity (which is obvious) and Φ preserves the multiplication.

Because Φ is k -linearly, to check that Φ is multiplicative, we only have to show

$$\Phi(gh) = \Phi(g)\Phi(h) \quad \text{for any } g, h \in G.$$

But this is easy to check.