Lesson 5 Proofs by contradiction Start induction



Proofs by contradiction: To prove S, assume 75, derive a contradiction i.e a false statement. 75 => False istrue so - 111 False V7(15) is true so S is true. In particular if S is an implication: P=>Q, a proof of contradiction of 5 starts essuming pand 72 and arms at deriving a false statement.

Can you try a proof by contradiction of Yn in Z V((2dividesn) A (3 divides n) => 6 divides n : Assume 2 divides n end 3 divides n end 6 does not divide n. Plan: derive a false statement. We know n=2k and n=3h for some k and h in 2, then 2k=3h, therefore h must be even, so n= 3h= 3.2t for some t in 2 so 6 divides n and given the assumption that 6 does not divide n we conclude that 6 divides n A 6 does not divide n, which is clearly false.

Th: 12 is irrational. Proof by contradiction : essume Fz is not irrational, then $\sqrt{z} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ for some integers a, b to and we can assume a and b have no common divisors other than 1 (1. e we can assume us have simplified our fraction). . Our gool now is to derive a contradiction. $vz = \underline{a}$ implies $vzb = \underline{a}$ and $zb^2 = \underline{a}^2$ (#) and therefore \underline{a}^2 is even. We have proved in Lesson 4 that a² is even implies a is even, so a = 2 k for some Kin Z. Plugging a = 2K in (*) ve get $2b^2 = (2k)^2$ so $2b^2 = 4k^2$ and therefore b² = 2 k² which allows us to conclude that bis even. Therefore and b have 2 as common divisor, contradicting the assumption that a and b do not here a common divisor greater than 1.

Th: A reguler chess board with the top 2 right squares and the bottom 2 right squares removed Cannot be covered by tiles of this form II (T tile).

Proof: assume by contradiction that we can cover a (modified) chess board with T tiles. We can color each tile either (type 1) or (type 2) in such a way that every black square on a Ttile covers a black square on the board and every white square covers a white square on the board. Suppose our covering uses in tiles of type 1 and n tiles of type 2. m and n are in N (integers zo) Our board has 30 white squares and 30 black squares, therefore we must have : 3m+n=30 (# white squares coured) m + 3n = 30 (# black squares covered) Solving for mend n gives m=n= 15 so mand n are not integers, giving a Contradiction. IDEA: Using colors maybe use ful in tiling problems.



Induction : It is a proof technique used to prove statements of the form $\forall n \text{ in } \mathcal{E}^+ P(n)$ We will also write Vnzi P(n) (assuming n 15 in Z) Generalizations Pater. We need to prove P(1), P(2), ... P(n), ... A proof by induction has 2 steps: 1) Prove P(1) Base case 2) Prove $\forall k \text{ in } \mathcal{Z}^+$ P(k) = P(k+1) Inductive step Why does it work? We prove TP(I) => P(2)) T | are eff true So TP(2) = P(3) TTP(3) => P(4)) TP(1) istrue, P(2) is true, P(3) is true,

Th: Vnz1 n+2n is divisible by 3 P(n) Proof by induction: 1) Base cese : we need to prove P(1). if n=1, n+2n=3, which is clearly. divisible by 3, so P(1) is True 2) Inductive step: We need to prov YK>1 P(K) => P(K+1). Assume KZ1, Kinz and K3+2K is divisible by 3, then k3+2K=3h for some h in Z, and $(k_{+1})^{3} + 2(\kappa_{+1}) = \kappa^{3} + 3\kappa^{2} + 3\kappa + 1 + 2\kappa^{2} + 2\kappa^{2}$ $= (k^{3} + 2k) + 3(k^{2} + k + 1) = 3(h + k^{2} + k + 1)$ is elso divisible by 3.