

# Lesson 26

pseudo primes  
Euler's  $\varphi$  function

Equivalent form of Fermat's Little th:

If  $p$  is prime  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$

1) If  $(p, q) = 1$  we can multiply

$$q^{p-1} \equiv_p 1 \quad \text{by } q$$

$$a \cdot a^{p-1} \equiv_p a$$

2) If  $(q, p) \neq 1$  then  $(q, p) = p$

therefore  $\frac{q^p}{p} \equiv_p a \equiv 0$

Is the converse true?

If  $a^p \equiv_p a$  then  $p$  is prime?

No

Def If  $n$  is composite and  $a^n \equiv_n a$   
 $n$  is called a pseudoprime in base  $a$

Pseudoprimes are rare.

Example  $91 = 13 \cdot 7$   $(3, 91) = 1$

$$3^{90} \mod 91$$

$$90 = 64 + 16 + 8 + 2$$

$$3^2 = 9 \mod 91$$

$$3^4 = 81 \mod 91$$

$$3^8 = 81^2 \equiv 9 \mod 91$$

$$3^{16} = 81 \mod 91$$

$$3^{32} \equiv 9 \mod 91$$

$$3^{64} \equiv 81 \mod 91$$

$$3^{90} = 3^2 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 3^{64} \equiv 9 \cdot 9 \cdot 81 \cdot 81 \equiv 1 \mod 91$$

So 91 is a pseudo prime to base 3

Different calculation of  $3^{90} \bmod 91$

$$91 = 13 \cdot 7$$

$$3^{90} \bmod 7 : (3^6)^{15} \equiv 1^{15} \equiv 1 \bmod 7$$

$$3^{90} \bmod 13 : (3^{12})^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot (27)^2 \equiv 1 \cdot 1^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 13$$

$$\text{so } 7 \text{ div } 3^{90} - 1 \text{ and } 13 \text{ div } 3^{90} - 1$$

$$\text{therefore } 3^{90} - 1 = 7h = 13k \text{ for some}$$

$$h, k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ so } 7 \text{ div } 13 \cdot k \text{ and}$$

$$\text{since } (7, 13) = 1 \quad 7 \text{ div } k \quad \text{so } k = 7 \cdot \ell$$

$$3^{90} - 1 = 13 \cdot 7 \cdot \ell \text{ for some } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{so } 3^{90} \equiv 1 \pmod{91}$$

Idea for a primality test:

Is  $n$  prime?

Compute  $2^n \pmod n$  if  $\neq 2$   $n$  is composite

if  $2 \pmod n$  is probably prime, to further check

Compute  $3^n \pmod n$  if  $\neq 3$   $n$  is composite

....

There are composite numbers that are  
pseudoprime to any base  $a$

Def: Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , if there is an  
integer  $k$  s.t  $a^k = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  then

the order of  $a$  is defined to be the  
smallest positive integer  $j$  s.t  $a^j = 1$ .

If no power of  $a$  is equal to 1  
the order of  $a$  is not defined

The function  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  
 $\phi(n) =$  the number of positive integers which  
are  $\leq n$  and are relatively prime to  $n$  is called  
the Euler's  $\phi$  function.

Example  $\phi(10) = 4$

because of the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10

4 of them : 1, 3, 7, 9 are relatively prime  
to 10 i.e.  $(10, a) = 1$

## Facts about $\varphi$

- 1) If  $p$  is prime then  $\phi(p) = p - 1$
- 2) If  $p$  and  $q$  are primes then  $\phi(p \cdot q) = (p-1)(q-1)$

(it is true in general that if  $(m,n)=1$  then  
 $\phi(m \cdot n) = \phi(m) \cdot \phi(n)$  )

- 3) Euler's theorem: If  $(a,n)=1$  then  $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

# Proof of Euler's th:

Consider all the elements of  $Z_n$ ; exactly  $\phi(n)$  of them are relatively prime to  $n$ , call them  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\phi(n)}$ . Multiply each element by  $a$ :  $a \cdot a_1, a \cdot a_2, \dots, a \cdot a_{\phi(n)}$  are all distinct in  $Z_n$  (i.e no two of them are congruent mod  $n$ ) and they are also all relatively prime to  $n$ , therefore (why?) they must each be congruent to one of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\phi(n)}$ . So

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_{\phi(n)} \equiv a \cdot a_1 \cdot a \cdot a_2 \cdots a_{\phi(n)} \pmod{n}$$

or

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_{\phi(n)} \equiv a^{\phi(n)} \cdot a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_{\phi(n)} \pmod{n}$$

Since the elements  $a_i$  are all relatively prime to  $n$  they can be cancelled and we get

$$a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$