

Subdifferentiation and Smoothing of Nonsmooth Integral Functionals

James V Burke

Mathematics, University of Washington

Joint work with

Xiaojun Chen (Hong Kong Polytechnic)

and

Hailin Sun (Nanjing University)

SIAM Conference on Optimization

Vancouver, British Columbia

May 23, 2017

The Problem

$$\min_{x \in X} F(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$$

$X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ convex compact set with non-empty interior

$\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ Lebesgue measurable closed set with non-empty interior

$f : \Xi \times X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ continuous in $x \forall \xi \in \Xi$, measurable in $\xi \forall x \in X$

$\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ expectation over Ξ

The Problem

$$\min_{x \in X} F(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$$

$X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ convex compact set with non-empty interior

$\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ Lebesgue measurable closed set with non-empty interior

$f : \Xi \times X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ continuous in $x \forall \xi \in \Xi$, measurable in $\xi \forall x \in X$

$\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ expectation over Ξ

Applications of interest include stochastic nonlinear complementarity problems, stochastic gap functions, and optimization problems in statistical learning, where $f(\xi, x)$ is often not Clarke regular in x for almost all ξ .

Clarke Stationary Points

$$0 \in \partial F(x) + \mathcal{N}_X(x), \quad \text{where } F(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$$

Clarke Stationary Points

$$0 \in \partial F(x) + \mathcal{N}_X(x), \quad \text{where } F(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$$

Issues:

- Can we estimate $\partial F(x)$ using $f(\xi, x)$?

Clarke Stationary Points

$$0 \in \partial F(x) + \mathcal{N}_X(x), \quad \text{where } F(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$$

Issues:

- Can we estimate $\partial F(x)$ using $f(\xi, x)$?
- (Clarke '83) If $f(\xi, x)$ is Clarke regular a.e. ξ , then
$$\partial F(x) = \mathbb{E}[\partial f(\xi, x)].$$

Clarke Stationary Points

$$0 \in \partial F(x) + \mathcal{N}_X(x), \quad \text{where } F(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$$

Issues:

- Can we estimate $\partial F(x)$ using $f(\xi, x)$?
- (Clarke '83) If $f(\xi, x)$ is Clarke regular a.e. ξ , then

$$\partial F(x) = \mathbb{E}[\partial f(\xi, x)].$$

- In general, we only have

$$\partial F(x) \subseteq \mathbb{E}[\partial_x f(\xi, x)].$$

Clarke Stationary Points

$$0 \in \partial F(x) + \mathcal{N}_X(x), \quad \text{where } F(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$$

Issues:

- Can we estimate $\partial F(x)$ using $f(\xi, x)$?
- (Clarke '83) If $f(\xi, x)$ is Clarke regular a.e. ξ , then

$$\partial F(x) = \mathbb{E}[\partial f(\xi, x)].$$

- In general, we only have

$$\partial F(x) \subseteq \mathbb{E}[\partial_x f(\xi, x)].$$

Example: $f(\xi, x) = \xi|x|$ with $\xi \sim N(0, 1)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)] = \mathbb{E}[\xi|x|] \equiv 0 \implies \partial F(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

but

$$\mathbb{E}[\partial f(\xi, 0)] = \sqrt{\pi/2} [-1, 1].$$

Basic Assumptions (Clarke '83)

We say $f : \Xi \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **Carathéodory mapping** on $\Xi \times X$ if $f(\xi, \cdot)$ is continuous on an open set U containing X for all $\xi \in \Xi$, and $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable on Ξ for all $x \in X$.

Basic Assumptions (Clarke '83)

We say $f : \Xi \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **Carathéodory mapping** on $\Xi \times X$ if $f(\xi, \cdot)$ is continuous on an open set U containing X for all $\xi \in \Xi$, and $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable on Ξ for all $x \in X$.

We say that $f : \Xi \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **locally Lipschitz integrand** on $\Xi \times U$ if f is a Carathéodory mapping on $\Xi \times U$ and $\forall \bar{x} \in U \exists \epsilon(\bar{x}) > 0$ and an integrable mapping $\kappa_f(\cdot, \bar{x}) \in L_1^2(\mathbb{R}^\ell, \mathcal{M}, \rho)$ such that

$$|f(\xi, x_1) - f(\xi, x_2)| \leq \kappa_f(\xi, \bar{x}) \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon(\bar{x})}(\bar{x}) \text{ a.e. } \xi \in \Xi,$$

where $\mathbb{B}_\epsilon(\bar{x}) := \{x \mid \|x - \bar{x}\| \leq \epsilon\} \subseteq U$.

Basic Assumptions (Clarke '83)

We say $f : \Xi \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **Carathéodory mapping** on $\Xi \times X$ if $f(\xi, \cdot)$ is continuous on an open set U containing X for all $\xi \in \Xi$, and $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable on Ξ for all $x \in X$.

We say that $f : \Xi \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **locally Lipschitz integrand** on $\Xi \times U$ if f is a Carathéodory mapping on $\Xi \times U$ and $\forall \bar{x} \in U \exists \epsilon(\bar{x}) > 0$ and an integrable mapping $\kappa_f(\cdot, \bar{x}) \in L_1^2(\mathbb{R}^\ell, \mathcal{M}, \rho)$ such that

$$|f(\xi, x_1) - f(\xi, x_2)| \leq \kappa_f(\xi, \bar{x}) \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon(\bar{x})}(\bar{x}) \text{ a.e. } \xi \in \Xi,$$

where $\mathbb{B}_\epsilon(\bar{x}) := \{x \mid \|x - \bar{x}\| \leq \epsilon\} \subseteq U$.

If $f : \Xi \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a LL integrand then $F(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)]$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on U with local Lipschitz modulus $\kappa_F(\bar{x}) := \mathbb{E}[\kappa_f(\xi, \bar{x})]$.

Approximation by Smoothing Functions

Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open.

We say that

$$\tilde{F} : U \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a **smoothing function** for F on U if

(i) $\tilde{F}(\cdot, \mu)$ converges continuously to F on U , i.e.,

$$\lim_{\mu \downarrow 0, x \rightarrow \bar{x}} \tilde{F}(x, \mu) = F(\bar{x}) \quad \forall \bar{x} \in U, \text{ and}$$

(ii) $\tilde{F}(\cdot, \mu)$ is continuously differentiable on U for all $\mu > 0$.

Measurable Smoothing Integrands

$\tilde{f} : \Xi \times U \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *measurable smoothing integrand* for $f : \Xi \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with smoothing parameter $\mu > 0$ if,
 $\forall \mu > 0, \tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot, \mu)$ is a Carathéodory map and

(i)

$$\lim_{\mu \downarrow 0, x \rightarrow \bar{x}} \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) = f(\xi, \bar{x}) \quad \forall \bar{x} \in U \text{ and } \xi \in \Xi,$$

(ii) $\forall (\bar{x}, \bar{\mu}) \in U \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \quad \exists$ open $V \subseteq U$ with $\bar{x} \in U$ and

$$\hat{\kappa}_f(\cdot, \bar{x}, \bar{\mu}), \kappa_f(\cdot, \bar{x}, \bar{\mu}) \in L_1^2(\Xi, M, \rho)$$

such that

$$|\tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu)| \leq \kappa_f(\xi, \bar{x}, \bar{\mu}) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) \right\| \leq \hat{\kappa}_f(\xi, \bar{x}, \bar{\mu})$$

$$\forall (\xi, x, \mu) \in \Xi \times V \times (0, \bar{\mu}].$$

Gradient Consistence of Smoothing Functions

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have smoothing function $\tilde{F} : U \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on U .

We say that \tilde{F} is *gradient consistent* at $\bar{x} \in U$ if

$$\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{\mu \downarrow 0, x \rightarrow \bar{x}} \nabla_x \tilde{F}(x, \mu) \right\} = \partial F(\bar{x}),$$

where the limit supremum is taken in the multi-valued sense.

If

$$\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla \tilde{F}(x, \mu) \right\} \subseteq \partial F(\bar{x}),$$

we say the \tilde{F} is *gradient sub-consistent* at $\bar{x} \in U$

Chen (2012), B-Hoheisel-Kanzow (2013), B-Hoheisel (2013-16)

Gradient Sub-Consistency

If $\bar{x} \in U$ is such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \bar{\nu} > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall \nu \in (0, \bar{\nu}) \exists \delta(\nu, \bar{x}) > 0 \text{ and} \\ \Xi(\nu, \bar{x}) \in \mathcal{M} \text{ with } \rho(\Xi(\nu, \bar{x})) \geq 1 - \nu \\ \text{for which} \\ \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) \in \partial_x f(\xi, \bar{x}) + \nu \mathbb{B} \quad \forall (x, \mu) \in [(\bar{x}, 0) + \delta(\nu, \bar{x})(\mathbb{B} \times (0, 1))] \\ \text{a.e. } \xi \in \Xi(\nu, \bar{x}), \end{array} \right.$$

then

$$\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla \tilde{F}(x, \mu) \right\} \subseteq \partial F(\bar{x}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) \right\} \right].$$

Gradient Sub-Consistency

If $\bar{x} \in U$ is such that

uniform subgradient approximation property

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \bar{\nu} > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall \nu \in (0, \bar{\nu}) \exists \delta(\nu, \bar{x}) > 0 \text{ and} \\ \Xi(\nu, \bar{x}) \in \mathcal{M} \text{ with } \rho(\Xi(\nu, \bar{x})) \geq 1 - \nu \\ \text{for which} \\ \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) \in \partial_x f(\xi, \bar{x}) + \nu \mathbb{B} \quad \forall (x, \mu) \in [(\bar{x}, 0) + \delta(\nu, \bar{x})(\mathbb{B} \times (0, 1))] \\ \text{a.e. } \xi \in \Xi(\nu, \bar{x}), \end{array} \right.$$

then

$$\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla \tilde{F}(x, \mu) \right\} \subseteq \partial F(\bar{x}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) \right\} \right].$$

Composite Max (CM) Integrands

Let $\Xi \times X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and let U be an open set containing X . We say that the mapping $g : \Xi \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a **measurable mapping with amenable derivative** if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) Each component of g is a Carathéodory mapping and, for all $\xi \in \Xi$, $g(\xi, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable in x on U ;
- (ii) For all $(\xi, x) \in \Xi \times U$, the gradient $\nabla_x g(\xi, x)$ is locally L^2 bounded in x uniformly in ξ in the sense that there is a function $\hat{\kappa}_g : \Xi \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\hat{\kappa}_g(\cdot, x) \in L^2_1(\mathbb{R}^\ell, \mathcal{M}, \rho)$ for all $x \in U$ and

$$\forall \bar{x} \in X \exists \epsilon(\bar{x}) > 0 \text{ such that } \|\nabla_x g(\xi, x)\| \leq \hat{\kappa}_g(\xi, \bar{x}) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon(\bar{x})}(\bar{x}).$$

Composite Max (CM) Integrands

A CM integrand on $\Xi \times X$ is a mapping of the form

$$f(\xi, x) := \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{c}(\xi, x) + C(g(\xi, x))) \quad (0.1)$$

for which there exists an open set U containing X such that

1. $C : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is of the form

$$C(y) := [p_1(y_1), p_2(y_2), \dots, p_m(y_m)]^T,$$

where $p_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) are finite piecewise linear convex with finitely many points of nondifferentiability,

2. the mappings \mathbf{c} and g are measurable mappings with amenable derivatives and
3. the mapping $\mathbf{q} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable with Lipschitz continuous derivative.

Piecewise Linear Convex Functions on \mathbb{R}

For $i = 1, \dots, m$, there is a positive integer r_i and scalar pairs (a_{ij}, b_{ij}) , $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, r_i$ such that

$$p_i(t) := \max \{ a_{ij}t + b_{ij} \mid j = 1, \dots, r_i \},$$

where $a_{i1} < a_{i2} < \dots < a_{i(r_i-1)} < a_{ir_i}$. The scalar pairs (a_{ij}, b_{ij}) , $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, r_i$ are coupled with a scalar partition of the real line

$$-\infty = t_{i1} < t_{i2} < \dots < t_{ir_i} < t_{i(r_i+1)} = \infty$$

such that for all $j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1$,

$$a_{ij}t_{i(j+1)} + b_{ij} = a_{i(j+1)}t_{i(j+1)} + b_{i(j+1)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$p_i(t) = \begin{cases} a_{i1}t + b_{i1}, & t \leq t_{i2}, \\ a_{ij}t + b_{ij}, & t \in [t_{ij}, t_{i(j+1)}] \quad (j \in \{2, \dots, r_i - 1\}), \\ a_{ir_i}t + b_{ir_i}, & t \geq t_{ir_i}. \end{cases}$$

This representation for the functions p_i gives

$$\partial p_i(t) = \begin{cases} a_{ij}, & t_{ij} < t < t_{i(j+1)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_i \\ [a_{i(j-1)}, a_{ij}], & t = t_{ij}, \quad j = 2, \dots, r_i. \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Smoothing for CM Integrands

$\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a piecewise continuous *density function* s.t.

$$\beta(t) = \beta(-t) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|\beta(t) dt < \infty.$$

Denote the *distribution function* for the density β by φ , i.e.,

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is given by } \varphi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \beta(t) dt.$$

Since β is symmetric, φ is a non-decreasing continuous with

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0) &= \frac{1}{2}, & (1 - \varphi(x)) &= \varphi(-x), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) &= 1 & \text{and} & \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Smoothing the p_i

For $i = 1, \dots, m$, the convolution

$$\tilde{p}_i(t, \mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_i(t - \mu s) \beta(s) ds$$

is a (well-defined) smoothing function with

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_t \tilde{p}_i(t, \mu) &= a_{i1} \left(1 - \varphi \left(\frac{t - t_{i2}}{\mu} \right) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{r_i-1} a_{ij} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{t - t_{ij}}{\mu} \right) - \varphi \left(\frac{t - t_{i(j+1)}}{\mu} \right) \right) + a_{ir_i} \varphi \left(\frac{t - t_{ir_i}}{\mu} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\eta_i(t) := \lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_t \tilde{p}_i(t, \mu) = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & t_{ij} < t < t_{i(j+1)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_i \\ \frac{1}{2}(a_{i(j-1)} + a_{ij}) & t = t_{ij}, \quad j = 2, \dots, r_i \end{cases}$$

is an element of $\partial p_i(\bar{t})$, and

$$\text{Limsup}_{t \rightarrow \bar{t}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_t \tilde{p}_i(t, \mu) = \partial p_i(\bar{t}) \quad \forall \bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Smoothing CM Integrands (B-Hoheisel-Kanzow '13)

Let f be a CM integrand. Then $\tilde{f} : \Xi \times U \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) := \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{c}(\xi, x) + \tilde{C}(g(\xi, x), \mu))$$

is a smoothing function for f , where

$$\tilde{C}(y, \mu) := [\tilde{p}_1(y_1, \mu), \tilde{p}_2(y_2, \mu), \dots, \tilde{p}_m(y_m, \mu)]^T.$$

If $\text{rank} \nabla_x g(\xi, \bar{x}) = m$, then, for all $\mu > 0$,

$$\nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, \bar{x}, \mu) \text{ and } \partial_x f(\xi, \bar{x})$$

are given respectively by

$$(\nabla_x \mathbf{c}(\xi, \bar{x}) + \text{diag}(\nabla_t \tilde{p}_i(g_i(\xi, \bar{x}), \mu)) \nabla_x g(\xi, \bar{x}))^T \nabla \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{c}(\xi, \bar{x}) + \tilde{C}(g(\xi, \bar{x})))$$

$$(\nabla_x \mathbf{c}(\xi, \bar{x}) + \text{diag}(\partial_t p_i(g_i(\xi, \bar{x}), \mu)) \nabla_x g(\xi, \bar{x}))^T \nabla \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{c}(\xi, \bar{x}) + C(g(\xi, \bar{x}))).$$

Moreover,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) \subseteq \partial_x f(\xi, \bar{x})$$

Gradient Sub-Consistency of Smoothed CM Integrands

$$f(\xi, x) := \mathbf{q}(c(\xi, x) + C(g(\xi, x), \mu))$$
$$\tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) := \mathbf{q}(c(\xi, x) + \tilde{C}(g(\xi, x), \mu))$$

If $f(\xi, \cdot)$ is subdifferentially regular \bar{x} for almost all $\xi \in \Xi$ or $-f(\xi, \cdot)$ is subdifferentially regular at \bar{x} for almost all $\xi \in \Xi$.

Then

$$\tilde{F}(x) := \mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(\xi, x)]$$

satisfies the gradient sub-consistency property i.e.,

$$\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla \tilde{F}(x, \mu) \right\} \subseteq \partial F(\bar{x}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\text{co} \left\{ \text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) \right\} \right].$$

What happens when Clarke regularity fails?

Consider the CM integrand f and its smoothing function \tilde{f} :

$$\begin{aligned}f(\xi, x) &:= \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{c}(\xi, x) + C(g(\xi, x), \mu)) \\ \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) &:= \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{c}(\xi, x) + \tilde{C}(g(\xi, x), \mu))\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\text{rank} \nabla_x g(\xi, \bar{x}) = m$ for a fixed $(\xi, \bar{x}) \in \Xi \times X$.

Then the limit

$$\begin{aligned}u(\xi, \bar{x}) &:= \lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, \bar{x}, \mu) \\ &= (\nabla_x \mathbf{c}(\xi, \bar{x}) + (\mathbf{z}_1(\xi, \bar{x}), \dots, \mathbf{z}_m(\xi, \bar{x}))^T \nabla \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{c}(\xi, \bar{x}) + C(g(\xi, \bar{x})))\end{aligned}$$

exist as given with $u(\xi, \bar{x}) \in \partial_x f(\xi, \bar{x})$, where

$$\mathbf{z}_i(\xi, \bar{x}) := \eta_i(g_i(\xi, \bar{x})) \nabla_x g_i(\xi, \bar{x}) \quad \text{with}$$

$$\eta_i(t) := \lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_t \tilde{p}_i(t, \mu) \in \partial p_i(\bar{t}).$$

Subgradient Approximation by Smoothing

$$f(\xi, x) := \mathbf{q}(c(\xi, x) + C(g(\xi, x), \mu))$$

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) := \mathbf{q}(c(\xi, x) + \tilde{C}(g(\xi, x), \mu))$$

$$u(\xi, \bar{x}) := \lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, \bar{x}, \mu)$$

$$F(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(\xi, x)] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}(x, \mu) := \mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu)] \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Then $\tilde{F}(\cdot, \mu)$ is differentiable for all $\mu > 0$ with

$$\nabla_x \tilde{F}(x, \mu) = \mathbb{E}[\nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu)],$$

the function u is well defined, and,

$$\lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \nabla_x \tilde{F}(\bar{x}, \mu) = \lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\nabla_x \tilde{f}(\xi, \bar{x}, \mu)] = \mathbb{E}[u(\xi, \bar{x})] \in \partial F(\bar{x}).$$

Subgradient Approximation by Smoothing

For $\mu > 0$ and $\bar{x} \in U$ there exists $K(\bar{x}) > 0$ and $\delta(\bar{x}) > 0$ s.t.

$$\left\| \nabla \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu) - \nabla \tilde{f}(\xi, \bar{x}, \mu) \right\| \leq \frac{K(\bar{x})}{\mu} \|x - \bar{x}\| \quad \forall \xi \in \Xi \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta(\bar{x})}(\bar{x})$$

and

$$\text{dist} \left(\mathbb{E}[\nabla \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu)] \mid \partial F(\bar{x}) \right) \leq \frac{K(\bar{x})}{\mu} \|x - \bar{x}\| + \text{dist} \left(\nabla_x \tilde{F}(\bar{x}, \mu) \mid \partial F(\bar{x}) \right)$$

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta(\bar{x})}(\bar{x}).$$

Moreover, for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$:

$$\text{Limsup}_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \mu = O(\|x - \bar{x}\|^\gamma)} \mathbb{E}[\nabla \tilde{f}(\xi, x, \mu)] \subset \partial F(\bar{x}).$$